

WAITING RESTRICTION REVIEW 2019B - OBJECTIONS TO TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

APPENDIX 1 - Summary of letters of support and objections received to Traffic Regulation Order**UPDATED: 01 September 2020**

| Street | Objections/support/comments received. |
|--|---|
| BA1_Elm Park 1) Resident, support 2) Resident, objection/comment | Summary of responses: Objections - 1, Support - 1, Comment - 0, Mixed Response - 0. 1) Parking in the turning circle reduces visibility for both cars and pedestrians. Pedestrians with children and pushchairs specifically have to go on the road rather than use the pavement, which can be dangerous if cars are also coming round the corner. Parked cars also mean that cars can't drive on the correct side of the road. 2) The problem in this area is due to parking of non-residents' vehicles, excess residents vehicles, and work vehicles. Imposing 'No waiting at any time restrictions' would solve these for the most part, however would make it difficult for residents with more than 1 vehicle (common in this area) Alternatively, a permit system would allow residents to park up to 1 more vehicle in the areas concerned, which would be beneficial to the residents, whilst still solving the other issues |

| Street | Objections/support/comments received. |
|--|--|
| CA3_Elmleigh Court 1) Resident, support | Summary of responses: Objections - 0, Support - 1, Comment - 0, Mixed Response - 0. 1) I fully support these proposals which will improve visibility and enhance safety. |

| Street | Objections/support/comments received. |
|--|---|
| CA1_ Amersham Road 1) Resident, objection | <p data-bbox="528 252 2125 320">Summary of responses: Objections - 1, Support - 0, Comment - 0, Mixed Response - 0.</p> <p data-bbox="577 363 2114 651">1) Hi, I would like to object to the Amersham Road parking restrictions by Mead Close. By adding 17 additional mts of restriction all it will do is move more cars up to the area around the Amersham Road/Dickens Close which has no double yellow lines in the area and is already a dangerous pinch spot. I have also attached email on that subject. Thanks</p> |

| Street | Objections/support/comments received. |
|---|--|
| CH1_ Christchurch Road 2) Resident, objection/comment 3) Resident, support | <p data-bbox="533 252 2130 323">Summary of responses: Objections - 1, Support - 1, Comment - 0, Mixed Response - 0.</p> <p data-bbox="577 363 2130 435">1) Un-necessary changes, reduces already limited customer parking for shops, likely reducing their footfall and income</p> <p data-bbox="577 515 2130 1145">2) This row of shops and flats causes no end of traffic issues to local residents. Too many shops providing the same services which all require lots of owner parking/deliveries/customers parking - all very close to a busy pedestrian crossing and a set of traffic lights on a very complex busy road junction. A recipe for chaos at all times of the day and early evening and additional pressure at school/commute/university peak activity times and of course a busy bus stop. Most of the shopper's cars park all over the pavements as the main road is busy - causing issues for pedestrians and cyclists. There are regular accidents and road rage incidents as drivers cannot see to reverse out onto Christchurch Road properly. There is simply not enough room for everything. Lorries regularly park in the side roads - to do their deliveries. Noise and pollution and nuisance and extra traffic on the local roads and footpaths adding to the above issues. Some of the delivery vehicles are simply too big for the roads and rear access and the levels of traffic. They cannot manoeuvre in and out and the space on the plans is not big enough to fit some vehicles. The whole area is increasingly difficult to live in, drive and walk through for the locals and the shop owners and customers. Playing around with a few minutes adjustments of times does not deal with the issues. There are a number of ongoing complaints about this whole area which the shops contribute very little response to - profit being more important than their impact to the residential aspect of the area. A bigger perspective needs to be considered by the various authorities to make life safer for everybody.</p> |

| Street | Objections/support/comments received. |
|---|--|
| <p>KA2_ Elgar Road South - Allotment Access</p> <p>1) Resident, comment</p> | <p>Summary of responses: Objections - 0, Support - 0, Comment - 0, Mixed Response - 0.</p> <p>1) Hello</p> <p>[REDACTED] I drive and cycle, when leaving the entrance exit at the top onto Elgar rd It is impossible to see any traffic or Vehicle coming down from the Basingstoke rd entrance into Elgar rd,</p> <p>The traffic is cars vans delivery trucks coming from Basingstoke rd mostly faster than they should to deliver to the industrial units opposite and further down from the allotment/ river gate entrance they do not slow down or caustious of vechicles driving up from the allotment/river to fast even if no van blocking the view and it impossible to see them coming down with vechles blocking the entrance ive even got out of my car to check to see if anything coming down becasue you cant see through the parked vechles.</p> <p>All along vechles park along Elgar rd up to the Basingstoke rd on double lines as well as single lines . The painted lines does not stopping parking for anylength time over night or for days or weeks. Painting lines for 10m from the allotment river gate will not stop vechles parking there . There needs to be Bollards /no parking poles put in place in the 10 m or suggested more meters to prevent any vehicle parking there anytime prevent blocking the view which should be illegal potential collisions which could be prevented by placing the bollards the painted lines have been are proven to be none effective they do not stop people parking.</p> <p>When the Vans or cars parked near the alltoment entrance from Elgar rd you can not drive onto Elgar rd and near accidents are there 24/7. I have also driven into the gate entrane can see past the van and cyclist and electric scooter come come up from the river you cant see them they can not see your vehicle cars coming down behind parked vans cars block the view</p> <p>Others Emergency Services, dog walkers, fishermen use the entrance gate drive down to drop off point, not only allotmenters. Its also used by RBC vehicles to maintain Waterloo Meadows and access for vans truck for the electrical pylons with not view point when leaving the allotment river gate onto Elgar rd</p> <p>Any further questions or information you require please ask me</p> |

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>2) Resident, support</p> <p>3) Resident, support</p> | <p>2) Waiting restrictions review 2019B - Elgar Road (CMS/14630)</p> <p>I support the introduction of no waiting areas in Elgar Road.</p> <p>In particular at the junction of the road with the track to the allotments. I attach a photo of this and thank you for considering the introduction of this restriction.</p> <p>3) Hi,</p> <p>I would like to leave a comment that I strongly support the proposed changes presented in drawing KA2_Elgar Road South provided that the 5m sight line is sufficient to improve the visibility upon exiting or approaching the track leading down to Waterloo Meadows allotments.</p> <p>The current situation makes many of us using the allotments feel unsafe, particularly on exit due to the poor view of approaching traffic and cyclists. Many of us are worried there is an accident waiting to happen.</p> <p>Many thanks for planning the changes, and it's lovely to know the safety risk has been considered. I do hope you have not received any objections and that the change can go ahead as planned.</p> |
|---|--|

| Street | Objections/support/comments received. |
|---------------|--|
| Oak Tree Road | <p data-bbox="533 252 2139 323">Summary of responses: Objections - 1, Support - 2, Comment - 0, Mixed Response - 0.</p> <p data-bbox="226 363 412 435">4) Resident, support</p> <p data-bbox="580 363 2123 507">1) Cars parked towards the bottom of oak tree Road cause congestion, difficult access to big vehicles such as rubbish collection. The road is too narrow to allow vehicles to park on one side of the road and also it seems to be abused by the neighbours who have cars permanently parking in there instead of using their own drives.</p> <p data-bbox="226 547 412 619">5) Resident, support</p> <p data-bbox="580 547 2085 659">2) Vehicles currently parked narrow the available road space for vehicles proceeding in both directions. Also some drivers use this area to park instead of using the station car park when commuting into London</p> <p data-bbox="226 699 427 802">6) Resident, objection/comment</p> <p data-bbox="580 699 2123 882">3) Whilst we agree in principle with the proposal we do not believe that it goes far enough. Based on the poor visibility at the junction between Carlisle Road and oak tree Road we would support this proposal if the 'No Waiting' restrictions were extended to a distance of 20 metres in either direction on both Oak Tree Road and Carlisle Road. We also believe that from a safety perspective the restrictions should be on both sides of both roads.</p> |

| Street | Objections/support/comments received. |
|------------------|---------------------------------------|
| KE4_Rydal Avenue | Summary of responses: |

| Objections - 2, Support - 1, Comment - 0, Mixed Response - 0. | |
|---|---|
| 1) Resident, support/ comment | <p>1) Thank you for this change. It's really needed as on that junction there has been several near misses due to large vans parking both sides of Rydal Avenue, very close to the junction, which narrows the views and makes it difficult for when approaching the junction. However, I would suggest if on both sides of Rydal Avenue, the extension of the double lines could be longer than 10m/15m as proposed on the drawings? There are currently 2 large vans parking each side of the road [REDACTED] and they create like a tunnel effect. They currently respect the 10m away from the junction, as per the highway code, so, introducing the double yellow lines with the design lengths won't make such difference as it won't avoid the vans to park where they currently park, meaning that the risk of accident is still there. It has also been dangerous for a couple of houses for when driving out as the visibility from the junction is reduced [REDACTED] Thanks.</p> |
| 2) Resident, objection/ comment | <p>2) There is no information provided as to why the council has decided to introduce waiting restrictions on this street where there is low traffic volumes and to my knowledge no safety issues.</p> <p>The area at the end of the road provides the only area in the street where visitors can park without blocking people drives, given that most of the rest of the street is taken up by residents parking, especially in the evening.</p> <p>If the waiting restrictions are introduced this small area will be lost with no apparent gain and will lead to more dangerous and inconvenient parking on the rest of the street.</p> <p>I can also see no reason why the south west side of the road has a proposed 15 meters length for the no waiting restriction compared to the 10 meters on the other side of the road. If the parking restriction must be introduced for safety reasons at the junction then the minimum length should be used on all sides</p> |
| 3) Resident, objection/ comment | <p>3) I am not aware of any recent traffic collisions or pedestrian incidents at this junction. Parking in Rydal ave is not curretly problematic. Surrounding roads however are overcrowded and residents of thirlmere , grasmere, and coniston (particularly those with commercial vehicles) are able to park on the eastern end of rydal without displacing rydal residents. Under your unnecessary</p> |

| | |
|-------------------------|---|
| 4) Resident, comment | <p>proposals these vehicles will be forced further west along rydal avenue where rydal residents will be displaced to whatever surrounding roads that a space may become available in. And all this hassle to solve a "problem", that does not exist. Please please save your money for schemes that will bring a genuine benefit. [REDACTED]</p> <p>4) Dear sir/madam, [REDACTED]</p> <p>I have lived in this area for [REDACTED]</p> <p>I understand that it is difficult to get parking around our area, but when some people are have an ignorance attitude to the road users, then people's life are being put at risk.</p> <p>Pleas extend the the double yellow line to keep the residence of Forest Hill and Rydale Avenue Safe.</p> <p>Please see attached pictures and video as evidence.</p> <p>Many thanks</p> |
|-------------------------|---|

| Street | Objections/support/comments received. |
|--------------------|--|
| M12_Parkhouse Lane | <p data-bbox="528 245 2128 325">Summary of responses: Objections - 0, Support - 5, Comment - 0, Mixed Response - 0.</p> <p data-bbox="226 363 412 432">1) Resident, comment</p> <p data-bbox="580 363 2114 584">1) Several times my oil delivery has been abandoned when tankers could not get along due to cars parked half on pavement and half in road, once a car parked all in the road and I could not drive out causing me to have to walk to Caversham , I did report it to the police at the time as your offices were not open. Thus emergency vehicles would be hindered in access to the blocks of flats and other properties. It is particularly bad during school term times.</p> <p data-bbox="226 624 412 692">2) Resident, comment</p> <p data-bbox="580 624 2092 772">2) I fully support this application. I would even go further and request no waiting or parking is allowed from that indicated right up to the junction of Parkhouse Lane with Southcote Road. Cars are always parked half on the road and half on the pavement on this stretch causing a hinderance to pedestrians and with cars parked on the south side the parking prevents emergency vehicle access.</p> <p data-bbox="226 812 412 880">3) Resident, comment</p> <p data-bbox="580 812 2074 919">3) I was also unable to drive as far as my property on recent occasion as car's were blocking both sides. My one concern over adding parking restrictions is that it should also cover driveways and garage entrances[REDACTED]</p> <p data-bbox="226 959 412 1027">4) Resident, comment</p> <p data-bbox="580 959 2101 1107">4) I totally support this, as I've witnessed myself how narrow it is at times to zigzag between cars parked on each side of the road. Also once, one delivery couldn't be processed via Park House Lane as the driver didn't have enough space to go between cars.</p> <p data-bbox="629 1147 801 1182">[REDACTED]</p> <p data-bbox="629 1222 2074 1294">So yes, I would appreciate if drivers parking in Park House Lane would be considerate of cars/lorries having to drive through Park House Lane, freely.</p> <p data-bbox="629 1334 741 1369">Thanks,</p> <p data-bbox="226 1445 412 1514">5) Resident, comment</p> <p data-bbox="580 1445 1962 1481">5) [REDACTED] I have also had issues with space on the road and so I fully support the proposal.</p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| | Alternatively, more parking can be added on the south side with the pavement moved back. |
|--|--|

| Street | Objections/support/comments received. |
|----------------------|---|
| NO1_Taff Way | Summary of responses: Objections - 0, Support - 1, Comment - 0, Mixed Response - 0. |
| 1) Resident, comment | 1) After looking at the drawing NO1 Taff Way, I am wondering if you could kindly extend the existing length of the double yellow line restrictions a few metres further along Taff Way, on the opposite side of the road[REDEACTED] It can be difficult to emerge safely onto Taff Way in reverse when there is a car parked on the opposite side of the road from the bottom of the drive, as well as too close on either side of the bottom of the drive. This is particularly bad around school opening and closing times. Please email me if you need further explanation. |

| Street | Objections/support/comments received. |
|------------------------|--|
| PA1_Tuns Hill Cottages | Summary of responses: Objections - 0, Support - 1, Comment - 0, Mixed Response - 0. |
| 1) Resident, comment | 1) The area where the proposed DYL are to be installed is right opposite the driveway of 18 Tuns Hill Cottages and they have had problems with emergency service vehicles accessing the driveway of number 18 and also although there is a large driveway at no18 ,it is completely redundant because [REDACTED] about 2m away from the wall and making it impossible to access[REDACTED] Thank you |
| 2) Resident, objection | 2) Introduction of double yellow lines would prevent delivery vehicles gaining access, as well as blocking road for residents parking |
| Street | Objections/support/comments received. |
| T14_Walnut Way | Summary of responses: |

| Objections - 0, Support - 1, Comment - 0, Mixed Response - 0. | |
|---|--|
| <p>1) Resident, support</p> | <p>1) Sir/Madam</p> <p>I'm emailing with reference to the proposed changes to the parking and no waiting areas on Walnut Way. [REDACTED] becoming increasingly frustrated with the lack of parking space Outside, or in the area of our houses. This is caused by the number of personnel employed in the nursery on Walnut Way, there are often cars Or delivery vehicles parked in an unsafe manner.</p> <p>I'm not writing to specifically object, I'm writing with a request that the nursery take more responsibility and use their car park space, which has Around 12 spaces, rather than keep it barriered off, this would help prevent us needing to park on the proposed area of change and take the Nursery staff off parking on the road. There is no benefit in closing the car park, if the car park is used for their staff then the current Covid -19 restrictions and measures can still be met, as there would be no vehicle movements at the time of pick up and drip off, maintaining children's safety.</p> <p>I would appreciate your feed back and help on this.</p> <p>Kind regards</p> |
| <p>2) Resident, support</p> | <p>2) Good afternoon,</p> <p>We write to fully support the proposed changes at the junction of Walnut Way and Tyle Road. Due to dangerous and lazy parking, on a daily basis, it becomes very difficult to exit Tyle Road in a vehicle and turn into Walnut Way in either direction. We just cannot see the oncoming traffic. As a pedestrian, crossing the Tyle Road junction is hazardous when your view of Walnut Way can be blocked by a large parked vehicle. This includes those pedestrians making their way from The Laurels infants to the Park Lane site and vice versa.</p> |