

READING BOROUGH COUNCIL CLIMATE IMPACT ASSESSMENT TOOL

What You Need to Do:

The spreadsheet on the next tab has been designed to help you consider the climate change implications of a new project, proposal or recommendation. **All Committee Reports, Project Business Cases and budget bid proposals require a climate impact assessment.**

When rating the climate change impacts of your project/ proposal, there are two key issues to consider:

1. Impact on carbon emissions - will the project/ proposal:

* Reduce the overall amount of energy being used/waste being produced/transport emissions?
* Increase the overall amount of energy being used/waste being produced/transport emissions?
* Have no effect on the amount of energy being used/waste being produced/transport emissions?

No
No
Yes

2. Impact on resilience to the effects of climate change - will the project/ proposal:

* Increase the ability of Reading, residents and/or RBC to withstand the effects of climate change?
* Reduce the ability of Reading, residents and/or RBC to withstand the effects of climate change?
* Have no effect on the ability of Reading, residents and/or RBC to withstand the effects of climate change?

No
No
Yes

The tool requires you to consider these issues with reference to **8 different criteria** e.g.: Energy Use; Waste Generation; Exposure to Heat Waves; Flooding, etc. *Not all of these criteria will necessarily be relevant to your project/ proposal.* Where a specific criteria is not relevant to your project/ proposal, assign a 'Nil' rating.

Where you identify either a positive or a negative impact, you are required to assess whether this impact will be **High, Medium or Low**. There is some guidance on the next tab to help you distinguish between High, Medium and Low impacts.

Results:

Once you have applied a rating to each of the 8 assessment criteria, **you decide the overall rating your project/proposal should have.**

If your project has negative as well as positive impacts, you decide what the overall rating should be by weighing the positive and negative impacts, but you must explain how the overall rating given to your project/proposal has been derived.

It is also compulsory to highlight any negative environmental impacts your project may have, which may have been 'masked' by the overall rating. You may do this in the commentary box below your rating.

Tips:

1. Concentrate on the most significant issues - there is the potential to consider the appraisal in a very detailed way. This should be avoided at this stage.
2. Where you have identified a negative impact, think about how you are going to manage or mitigate this impact and explain this in your report.

If you require assistance in completing the Climate Impact Assessment tab, please contact the Head of Climate Strategy (peter.moore@reading.gov.uk x4275) or the Sustainability Team Manager (ben.burfoot@reading.gov.uk x2232)

Once you have completed the Climate Impact Assessment tab, please email the completed spreadsheet to climateassessment@reading.gov.uk.

Project / Proposal Name or Reference:

Date:

Your Name:

APPENDIX RS-3

Statement of Licensing Policy		05-Sep-23	Robert Smalley		
1. IMPACT ON CARBON EMISSIONS					
HOW WILL THIS PROJECT/PROPOSAL AFFECT:	CONSIDERATIONS <i>See guidance below on determining whether negative or positive impacts are High, Medium or Low</i>	IMPACT? <i>Use drop down list</i>	GUIDANCE IF NEGATIVE/NIL RATING HAS BEEN AWARDED	SUMMARISE HOW YOU PLAN TO MANAGE AND REDUCE ANY NEGATIVE IMPACTS	
1	ENERGY USE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * More energy will be consumed or emissions generated (by RBC or others) = Negative Impact * No extra energy use is involved or any additional energy use will be met from renewable sources = Nil Impact * Energy use will be reduced or renewable energy sources will replace existing fossil fuel energy = Positive Impact 	Nil	Consider: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▫ Energy efficiency measures ▫ Renewable energy ▫ Reducing demand for energy 	It is not envisaged that, as a result of this policy, more energy will be consumed or emissions generated than there currently is. There are no statutory grounds under the Licensing Act 2003 to consider climate or environmental impacts.
2	WASTE GENERATION	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * More waste will be generated (by RBC or others) = Negative Impact * No waste will be generated = Nil Impact * Less waste will be generated OR amount of waste that is reused/recycled will be increased = Positive Impact 	Nil	Consider: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▫ Re-usable/recycled goods ▫ Recycling facilities ▫ Reducing/reusing resources 	N/A
3	USE OF TRANSPORT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * RBC or others will need to travel more OR transport goods/people more often/further = Negative Impact * No extra transport will be necessary = Nil Impact * The need to travel, the use of transport and/or of fossil fuel-based transport will be reduced = Positive Impact 	Nil	Consider: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▫ Use of public transport ▫ Reducing need to travel or transport goods ▫ Alternative fuels/electric vehicles/walking and cycling 	Approximately 1/3 of premises are located in the town centre. Many people attend the town centre by public transport. It is not envisaged that this policy will lead to any change in the methods that members of the public use to access the town centre or any part of Reading.
2. IMPACT ON RESILIENCE TO THE EFFECTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE					
HOW WILL THIS PROJECT/PROPOSAL AFFECT THE ABILITY OF READING TO WITHSTAND:	CONSIDERATIONS <i>See guidance below on determining whether negative or positive impacts are High, Medium or Low</i>	IMPACT? <i>Use drop down list</i>	GUIDANCE IF NEGATIVE/NIL RATING HAS BEEN AWARDED	SUMMARISE HOW YOU PLAN TO MANAGE AND REDUCE ANY NEGATIVE IMPACTS	
4	HEATWAVES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Increased exposure of vulnerable people and/or infrastructure to heat stress = Negative Impact * No increase in exposure to heat stress = Nil Impact * Reduced exposure of vulnerable people and/or infrastructure to heat stress = Positive Impact 	Nil	Greater need for cooling, ventilation, shading and hydration methods	N/A
5	DROUGHT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Water use will increase and/or no provision made for water management = Negative Impact * Levels of water use will not be changed = Nil Impact * Provision made for water management, water resources will be protected = Positive Impact 	Nil	Greater need for water management and perhaps reserve supplies	N/A
6	FLOODING	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Levels of surface water run-off will increase, no management of flood risk = Negative Impact * Levels of surface water run-off & flood risk are not affected = Nil Impact * Sustainable drainage measures incorporated, positive steps to reduce & manage flood risk = Positive Impact 	Nil	Consider flood defence mechanisms or alternative arrangements (business continuity)	N/A

7	HIGH WINDS / STORMS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Exposure to higher wind speeds is increased or is not managed = Negative Impact * No change to existing level of exposure to higher wind speeds = Nil Impact * Exposure to higher wind speeds is being actively managed & reduced = Positive Impact 	Nil	Greater need for stabilisation measures, robust structures resilient to high winds	N/A
8	DISRUPTION TO SUPPLY CHAINS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Exposure to supply chain disruption for key goods and services is increased = Negative Impact * No change in exposure to supply chain disruption for key goods and services = Nil Impact * Exposure to supply chain disruption for key goods and services is reduced = Positive Impact 	Nil	Source key goods and services locally as it reduces exposure to supply chain disruption and boosts the local economy	N/A

Weighing up the negative and positive impacts of your project, what is the overall rating you are assigning to your project?:

Net Nil

This overall rating is what you need to include in your report/ budget proposal, together with your explanation given below.

Guidance on Assessing the Degree of Negative and Positive Impacts:

Note: Not all of the considerations/ criteria listed below will necessarily be relevant to your project

Low Impact (L)	* No publicity
	* Relevant risks to the Council or community are Low or none
	* No impact on service or corporate performance
	* No impact on capital assets; or relates to minor capital assets (minor works)
Medium Impact (M)	* Local publicity (good or bad)
	* Relevant risks to the Council or community are Medium
	* Affects delivery of corporate commitments
	* Affects service performance (e.g.: energy use; waste generation, transport use) by more than c.10%
	* Relates to medium-sized capital assets (individual buildings or small projects)
High Impact (H)	* National publicity (good or bad)
	* Relevant risks to the Council or community are Significant or High
	* Affects delivery of regulatory commitments
	* Affects corporate performance (e.g.: energy; waste; transport use) by more than c.10%
	* Relates to major capital assets (larger buildings and infrastructure projects)

In the box below please summarise any relevant policy context, explain how the overall rating has been derived, highlight significant impacts (positive and negative) and explain actions being taken to mitigate negatives and increase positives. This text can be replicated in the 'Environment and Climate Impacts' section of your Committee Report, though please note you may need to supplement this climate impact

There are no specific environmental or climate implications to this policy. There are currently 637 licensed premises. It is not envisaged that any premises that fall under this policy will, as a whole, negatively impact any of the following to any significant degree: air quality, biodiversity, wildlife, green space, natural habitats, landscape/visual impact, natural resources, waste/waste management. Furthermore, no significant increase in emissions of greenhouse gases/ carbon dioxide are expected. It is not possible to predict how the total number of premises, nor the number of customers to such premises will fluctuate over the next 5 years of the proposed policy.