

Policy Committee

08 July 2024



Reading

Borough Council

Working better with you

Title	Allocation of the Community Infrastructure Levy 15% local contribution
Purpose of the report	To make a decision
Report status	Public report
Report author	Mark Worringham, Planning Policy Manager
Lead Councillor	Councillor Micky Leng, Lead Councillor for Planning and Assets
Corporate priority	Healthy Environment
Recommendations	<p>1. That the following allocations of 15% local CIL collected up until 31st May 2024 be agreed, with a total allocation of £1.498m:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• £0.015m to landscape the verge along the route in front of the Irish Club along Chatham Street• £0.020m to re-landscape the front garden of Somerstown Court• £0.050m for town centre tree planting• £0.020m for permanent planters on Oxford Road IDR over pass• £0.020m for Pocket Park biodiversity enhancement at Castle Hill roundabout (NW corner)• £0.020m for repair and cleaning and providing water to George Street allotments• £0.020m for planting green spaces in Battle ward• £0.075m for more greenery on the Oxford Road• £0.085m for zebra crossing on Portman Road opposite Milk & More• £0.008m for replacement of carpet on Kensington Park wicket• £0.040m for 20mph zone for the Amersham Road estate area• £0.050m for tree planting in Amersham Road and Westfield Recreation Ground• £0.040m for traffic and crossing measures in Caversham Heights• £0.100m for maintenance/refurbishment of Albert Road Park tennis courts• £0.050m for provision of graffiti resistant information boards within the Cowsey• £0.015m for replacement of outdated/broken community noticeboards in Katesgrove• £0.025m for removal of the priority flow feature at the junction of Allcroft Road and Redlands Road• £0.012m to amend the width restriction build-outs on Redlands Road to include cycle-through bypasses• £0.061m to add a non-turf cricket pitch and a two net training facility at Cintra Park

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • £0.001m for refurbishment of bench at the junction of Southcote Rd and Tilehurst Rd • £0.026m for Bath Road/Granville Road underpass enhancement • £0.020m to enhance the green in the Horncastle Conservation Area • £0.100m for improving the appearance of the public areas of the Orts Road Estate • £0.020m to create a biodiversity friendly setting along the Gunther's Brook • £0.030m for water safety enhancements including at the Kennet river • £0.050m for installation of information board(s) and signage and improving pathway at Coley Water Meadows • £0.100m to clean and enhance the underpass from Katesgrove Lane to the County Lock and general enhancement of the area • £0.100m for infill footpath in Southcote Linear Park along the Holy Brook close to the allotments • £0.200m to repaint and resurface the footbridge over the Kennet between Kennet Walk and Avon Place • £0.25m for installation of additional river safety equipment along Thames Promenade and potentially more exit points • £0.100m for improvement of active travel connections to The River Academy <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. That delegation be given to the relevant Assistant Director to complete necessary procurement processes to deliver the programme of work. 3. That spend approval be delegated to the relevant officers in accordance with the funds approved at 1 above. Any variation to the allocations above be delegated to the relevant officers in consultation with the Lead Members for Planning and Assets and Corporate Services and Resources and the Director of Finance. 4. That progress on the projects already allocated 15% local CIL funds (Appendix 4) be noted.
--	---

1. Executive Summary

- 1.1. This report deals with the 15% of collected Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) which should be allocated to the local area in which development takes place. The report proposes an allocation of 15% local CIL collected up to 31st May 2024. The report also updates on progress with those projects already allocated 15% local CIL funding.

2. Policy Context

- 2.1. Since 1st April 2015, the Council has operated the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) within Reading. This is a levy that is applied to new development, and which is to be used to fund infrastructure to support growth. The collection and spend of CIL is governed by the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010 (as amended).
- 2.2. Under the CIL Regulations, where there is no neighbourhood development plan in place and where development was not granted permission by a neighbourhood development order, 15% of CIL money arising must be spent in the 'relevant local area' in which development takes place (this is referred to hereafter as '15% local CIL'). In many authorities, this means passing the relevant proportion of collected CIL to the parish councils or town councils in whose area development takes place, but Reading requires different arrangements.

- 2.3. A CIL Spend Protocol, originally approved at Policy Committee on 16th July 2018 (Minute 26 refers) and amended at Policy Committee on 15th February 2021 (Minute 97 refers) sets out a focus for the use of 15% local CIL as below and subject to the project according with a number of principles:
- Open space improvements/small scale leisure;
 - Local highway improvement projects;
 - Air quality;
 - Community improvements;
 - Renewable energy infrastructure;
 - Economic Support;
 - Other measures which help to mitigate the impact the development has on the area.
- 2.4. The protocol further states that allocations of 15% local CIL must accord with the following:
- Support:
 - a) the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure; or
 - b) anything else that is concerned with addressing the demands that development places on a local area.
 - May be included in the IDP and/or Approved Capital Programme.
 - May enable other funds that would not otherwise be available or offer a financial return on investment, e.g. needed to match or draw grant funding;
 - Address a specific impact of new development beyond that which has been secured through a S106 obligation or S278 agreement;
 - Contribute to the delivery of key development sites in the district to realise the Local Plan proposals.
- 2.5. The process for allocating 15% local CIL is set out in full in the amended CIL Spend Protocol. In summary, the steps are as follows:
- Projects nominated by officers, members, community groups or members of the public, to be made by the end of the calendar year to feed into final allocations in the following Spring;
 - Initial proposals will be discussed with lead councillors;
 - Given that funds are limited the use of 15% local CIL funds will be normally allocated to small scale projects of around £100k or less;
 - Public consultation on the general spending priorities under the local community 15% spend will take place every 3-4 years, at the beginning of the calendar year;
 - The final allocation of funds will be made annually by the Policy Committee, based on the following considerations:
 - Deliverability (timescales, risks, resources required, dependence on external partners)
 - Financial considerations (value for money, additional capital funding required, revenue considerations)
 - Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation
 - Relationship with identified strategic priorities
 - Degree to which projects meet infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development.
 - Annual progress report to Policy Committee.

3. The Proposal

Current position

- 3.1 Allocations of 15% local CIL totalling £4.519m have already been made towards 61 projects. Allocations were made as follows:

- A first allocation of £1.204m to 23 projects was agreed at Policy Committee on 26th November 2018 (Minute 49 refers).
 - Additional funds were allocated towards two of the selected projects by Decision Book in August 2020, bringing the total allocated to £1.339m (Decision Book reference 608).
 - A further allocation of £1.557m was made by Policy Committee on 14th June 2021 towards a further 20 projects. Committee also decided to make some minor amendments to some of the existing allocations that did not change the overall amount of 15% local CIL funds allocated (Minute 7 refers).
 - A reallocation of £0.035m between two of the projects allocated funds in June 2021 was made by Decision Book in January 2022, which did not change the overall amount of 15% local CIL funds allocated (Decision Book reference 647).
 - A further allocation of £1.623m was made by Policy Committee on 7th March 2022 towards a further 18 projects (Minute 80 refers).
 - A reallocation of £0.070m from two of the projects allocated funds in November 2018 towards other projects allocated funds in June 2021 and March 2022 was made by Policy Committee on 11th July 2022, which did not change the overall amount of 15% local CIL funds allocated (Minute 14 refers).
- 3.2 Progress on the projects which have already benefitted from 15% local CIL funds are set out in full in Appendix 4.
- 3.3 The Council has continued to collect 15% local CIL funds as a result of development taking place in Reading. Up to 31st May 2024, a total of £6.036m had been collected. After taking account of the allocations already made and listed in paragraph 4.1, this means that there is a total of £1.517m available for allocation as at 31st May 2024.
- 3.4 In line with the process for allocating 15% local CIL, a consultation was carried out on the priorities for use of these funds between February and April 2021, and results were reported in full to Policy Committee on 14th June 2021. As set out in paragraph 2.5 above, the results of consultation form a part of the consideration for how funds should be allocated. In total, there were 171 responses, and the priorities for 15% local CIL spend were as follow:
1. Play areas and public open spaces
 2. Climate change and renewable energy
 3. Natural environment
 4. Highways, transport and travel
 5. General environmental enhancements
 6. Community centres and hubs
 7. Healthcare provision
 8. Education provision
 9. Heritage and cultural provision
- 3.5 In advance of the allocation of funds for 2024-25, officers wrote to all Councillors on 3rd October 2023 requesting nominations for infrastructure projects relevant to their ward, and asking that Councillors make a single submission per ward if possible. A deadline of 7th November was given. Officers from the relevant sections have had a chance to review the projects and provide further information, including costing and delivery details wherever possible.
- 3.6 In total, there are 62 projects on the list of nominations. It is proposed that the projects to receive 15% local CIL funds be selected from the list of nominated projects. The list is set out in summary in Appendix 2 and in further detail in Appendix 3.

Option proposed

- 3.6 The nominated projects have been assessed by officers against the five criteria from the CIL Spend Protocol (see paragraph 2.5 of this report), in addition to compliance with the

CIL Regulations. An assessment form has been used for each project, and all completed assessment forms are set out in full in Appendix 3. For ease of reference, Appendix 2 contains a summary table with a summary of the assessment for each project.

3.7 As above, the purpose of the assessment is to consider compliance against the criteria. It does not, in most cases, come to a conclusion on whether or not a project should form part of the final allocation, not least because there are more projects that meet all or most of the criteria than there are available funds.

3.8 For each of the six criteria, the assessment form sets out the degree to which the project meets the criterion. This is indicated as follows:

- Project meets the criterion - High
- Uncertainty about whether the project meets the criterion, or project does not meet the criterion in full = Medium
- Project does not meet the criterion = Low

3.9 It is worth emphasising that it is not the case that every criterion has to be met in order to be allocated funds. The only essential criterion is that CIL Regulations are fulfilled. Otherwise, a project can be allocated funds without clearly meeting the criterion or without meeting it at all. A balanced decision is required. In addition, there is no attempt to create an overall quantitative score for each project, as this will not necessarily lead to the best decision.

3.10 Important details of how each criterion has been considered are set out below.

CIL Regulations

3.11 Under Regulation 59C of the CIL Regulations 2010 (as amended), 15% local CIL must be applied to:

- (a) the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of infrastructure; or
- (b) anything else that is concerned with addressing the demands that development places on an area.

3.12 The criteria differ from the criteria for allocating 80% strategic CIL, and there is considerable scope for flexibility. Almost all nominated projects clearly fulfil the Regulations, but there are one or two where compliance is not clear at this stage.

Deliverability

3.13 This criterion is key, because without a deliverable scheme the funds cannot be effectively spent. Most deliverable projects are within the financial year 2024-25, although some may involve delivery in 2025-26 or beyond given that we are now some way into the 2024-25 financial year.

3.14 However, there are some projects where deliverability requires further assessment. This does not prevent funds being allocated, but it will mean that work to assess the deliverability will need to take place after allocation, which may affect delivery timescales and the scope and detail of the project. In some cases, if a deliverable project cannot be identified after funds have been allocated, these funds may need to be reallocated in future. In a limited number of cases, it is not considered likely that a specific nomination is deliverable, in which case allocation is not recommended.

Financial considerations

3.15 The assessment under this criterion considers the total funds required (providing information on how this is broken down or has been identified where necessary), the availability of any other capital funds that could supplement CIL or potentially provide an alternative source of funding, revenue implications and a high level view on whether the project is likely to provide value for money.

3.16 The CIL Spend Protocol states that:

“Given that funds are limited the use of 15% local CIL funds will be normally allocated to small scale projects of around £100k or less”

Whilst this is not an absolute requirement, and allocations of larger sums have already been made, since this is explicitly stated in the protocol it should be taken into account. In a number of cases, this makes the difference between meeting the criterion in full and not clearly meeting it.

Accordance with spending priorities outlined in consultation

- 3.17 This sets out the general priorities that were identified as a result of the consultation undertaken between February and April 2021 and reported to Policy Committee in June 2021, and the degree to which those priorities were favoured in consultation.
- 3.18 It is worth being aware that, when priorities are identified at ward level, the low number of responses within a single ward may mean that the results are not necessarily fully representative. For instance, each of Battle, Church, Katesgrove, Norcot, Southcote and Whitley had 10 or less responses. Clearly, ward boundaries have also changed since the consultation took place, and therefore the ward at the time that consultation took place is relevant.
- 3.19 It should also be noted that some of the nominations identified multiple priorities that a project would deliver. In most cases, the assessment seeks to place the project within the priority or priorities with which it most closely accords, otherwise the assessment against this criterion starts to lose its meaning. For instance, enhancements to public open space, whilst likely having benefits in terms of climate change, clearly sit within the ‘play areas and open spaces’ priority.

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

- 3.20 Under this criterion, the assessments consider the degree to which the projects help to meet identified strategic priorities. The main identified sources of strategic priorities are:
- The Corporate Plan 2022-25;
 - The CIL Spend Protocol itself, which identifies some priorities for both strategic and local CIL spend. These are also set out in the Infrastructure Funding Statement, which is the statutory document that identifies priorities for developer funding; and
 - The Infrastructure Delivery Plan, which is currently part of the adopted Local Plan, but which can be updated periodically outside the Local Plan process.
- 3.21 However, other policies and priorities are also identified where relevant.

Degree to which projects meet infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

- 3.22 This involves assessing the recent and planned level of development within the area of the proposed project. The revision to the CIL Spend Protocol in February 2021 moved away from the approach of dividing Reading into four zones and allocating the funds raised within the zone to that zone, but it is nevertheless worth considering the relationship to where development takes place as one of the criteria. The indicator used here is the proportion of new dwellings built over the previous five years (2018-23) and with planning permission at 1st April 2023 that is within the relevant ward. Although commercial development may also impact on resources, this typically follows quite a similar geographical pattern to residential in terms of wards. This section also considers the degree to which the type of infrastructure supports residential development.

Conclusion

- 3.23 A short project conclusion is included, towards the beginning of the form to summarise the compliance with the criteria. Please note that this is not a recommendation on whether a project should be funded at this stage, unless there are specific reasons why officers consider that a project ought not to be considered further, or requires further discussion to understand the proposal further.

Recommended allocation

- 3.24 It is recommended that, due to the amount of projects that have been nominated at this point, that allocation of all 15% local CIL funds collected up to the end of May 2024 be considered, as opposed to the funds collected up to the end of the previous financial year as would normally be the case under the CIL Spend Protocol. This allows a larger range of projects to be allocated funds, and also reflects the fact that some of the projects are likely to take longer to deliver than a single financial year, so it would make sense to allocate the funds at this point.
- 3.25 The proposed allocation of 15% local CIL funds collected up to 31st May 2024 after consideration against the criteria would total £1.498m. This comprises the schemes set out below, further details of which are included in Appendix 3.

Table 1: Proposed allocation of 15% local CIL

Ref	Project	Allocation recommended
1	Landscape the verge along the route in front of the Irish Club along Chatham Street	£0.015m
2	Re-landscape the front garden of Somerstown Court	£0.020m
4**	Town centre tree planting	£0.050m
5**	Permanent planters on Oxford Road IDR over pass	£0.020m
8**	Pocket Park biodiversity enhancement at Castle Hill roundabout (NW corner)	£0.020m
10	Repair and cleaning and providing water to George Street allotments	£0.020m
11	Planting green spaces in Battle ward	£0.020m
12	More greenery on the Oxford Road	£0.075m
13***	Zebra crossing on Portman Road opposite Milk & More	£0.085m
17	Replacement of carpet on Kensington Park wicket	£0.008m
19	20mph zone for the Amersham Road estate area	£0.040m
20	Tree planting in Amersham Road and Westfield Recreation Ground	£0.050m
21***	Traffic and crossing measures in Emmer Green	£0.040m
22	Maintenance/refurbishment of Albert Road Park tennis courts	£0.100m
24	Provision of graffiti resistant information boards within the Cowsey	£0.050m
27	Replacement of outdated/broken community noticeboards in Katesgrove	£0.015m
40	Removal of the priority flow feature at the junction of Allcroft Road and Redlands Road	£0.025m
41***	Amend the width restriction build-outs on Redlands Road to include cycle-through bypasses	£0.012m
44	Add a non-turf cricket pitch and a two net training facility at Cintra Park	£0.061m
50	Refurbishment of bench at the junction of Southcote Rd and Tilehurst Rd	£0.001m
51***	Bath Road/Granville Road underpass enhancement	£0.026m
54	Enhance the green in the Horncastle Conservation Area	£0.020m
59	Improving the appearance of the public areas of the Orts Road Estate	£0.100m
3*	Create a biodiversity friendly setting along the Gunther's Brook	£0.020m
9*	Water safety enhancements including at the Kennet river	£0.030m
25*	Installation of information board(s) and signage and improving pathway at Coley Water Meadows	£0.050m

28*	Clean and enhance the underpass from Katesgrove Lane to the County Lock and general enhancement of the area	£0.100m
53*	Infill footpath in Southcote Linear Park along the Holy Brook close to the allotments	£0.100m
56*	Repaint and resurface the footbridge over the Kennet between Kennet Walk and Avon Place	£0.200m
57*	Installation of additional river safety equipment along Thames Promenade and potentially more exit points	£0.025m
58*	Improvement of active travel connections to The River Academy	£0.100m
	Total	£1.498m

3.26 This approach directs almost half of the funds towards the wards where by far the largest amount of development is taking place (Abbey and Thames, which together see 56% of the recent or permitted new homes), whilst still ensuring that those parts of the Borough that also continue to see development taking place (the west, south, east and north) also see funds. It also provides funds across a range of different priorities to ensure that there are no delivery issues caused by capacity within specific service areas. Finally, it delivers a mix of larger projects with longer delivery timelines and smaller projects that can often be delivered relatively quickly.

3.27 The projects marked with asterisks have been grouped together as they all relate to wider strategic objectives, which are improvement of the relationship with Reading's waterways, town centre strategy implementation or promotion of active travel.

Next steps

3.28 Once an allocation is agreed by this Committee, work can commence on delivering the projects. More information on the steps necessary for delivery of individual projects is set out in Appendix 3. In some cases there is some additional project scoping work required, or there may be a need to go through additional steps such as consultation or a planning application, but in other cases delivery could start almost immediately.

3.29 For those projects which are more complex or larger scale, once funds are allocated, officers will continue to work together with the relevant ward and lead Councillors as the project progresses.

Other options considered

3.30 There are considerably more nominated projects than can be allocated funds at this stage. Many of these projects meet some, or most, of the criteria for allocation. This means a large number of alternative selections of projects open to this Committee to consider, far too many to itemise in this report. The recommended allocation represents a good mix of deliverable projects across the Borough, with a focus on those areas seeing the greatest level of development, across a number of types of infrastructure, and across a number of sizes of project, but it is not the only possible distribution of funds that would fulfil the regulations and agreed process and criteria.

4. Contribution to Strategic Aims

4.1. The proposals to allocate 15% local CIL supports a number of strategic aims. The contribution to strategic priorities is one of the criteria against which each individual project is addressed. Given the proposed allocation of the majority of funds to transport, open space and leisure, community and general environmental enhancements the recommendations set out in this report mainly support the following priorities in the Corporate Plan 2022/25:

- Healthy environment;
- Thriving communities; and
- Inclusive economy.

4.2 The project assessment forms in Appendix 3 refer to specific elements of the Corporate Plan where relevant.

5. Environmental and Climate Implications

5.1 A wide variety of projects have been nominated or are proposed for allocation, all of which will have differing environmental and climate implications. These projects can broadly be grouped by type, and the environmental implications summarised for each type of project.

Table 2: Summary of environmental and climate implications

Type	Relevant projects in proposed allocation	Implications
Play areas and open space/outdoor sports improvements	6, 17, 22, 23, 24, 25, 30, 32, 44, 45, 53, 54, 60, 62	Energy: Small negative effect of installation works Waste: Nil Transport: Improvements close to where people live reduce the need to travel. Resilience: Nil other than positive implications where new planting proposed or drainage improvements planned.
Planting and landscaping	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 11, 12, 20, 38	Energy: Small negative effect of works Waste: Nil Transport: Nil Resilience: Positive implications of new planting through slowing flows of floodwater and providing additional shading and habitats for biodiversity
General environmental enhancements and safety measures	9, 10, 27, 28, 29, 42, 50, 51, 52, 56, 57, 59	Energy: Small negative effect of installation works Waste: Nil Transport: An improved local environment is more likely to encourage residents to walk Resilience: Nil
Pedestrian crossings and active travel infrastructure	13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 33, 39, 46, 49, 58	Energy: Small negative effect of installation works and potentially operation, positive effect of promotion of walking rather than other forms of transport. Waste: Nil Transport: Positive impact as improvements for pedestrians and cyclists encourage walking and cycling Resilience: Nil
Traffic management measures	19, 21, 31, 35, 37, 40, 41, 43, 47, 48, 55, 61	Energy: Small negative effect of implementation works, positive effect of improving active travel rather than other forms of transport. Waste: Nil Transport: Positive impact as improvements allow for active travel. Resilience: Nil
Community centres	26	Energy: Small negative effect through refurbishment works Waste: Nil Transport: Improvements close to where people live reduce the need to travel. Resilience: Nil
Renewable energy and carbon reduction projects	34, 36	Energy: Positive effect through generation of renewable energy Waste: Nil Transport: Nil Resilience: Positive through providing a decentralised energy source in the event of future disruption

6. Community Engagement

- 6.1 There is no statutory requirement for community engagement on allocation or spend of 15% local CIL. However, national Planning Practice Guidance states that authorities “should engage with the communities where development has taken place and agree with them how best to spend the neighbourhood funding”. It is for authorities to set out how this consultation will take place.
- 6.2 Consultation on the priorities for future spend took place between 19th February and 16th April 2021. The results were reported to Policy Committee on 14th June 2021, and the assessment forms in Appendix 3 set out how the projects relate to the consultation results.
- 6.3 In line with the CIL Protocol, it is not proposed that the allocated projects be subject to consultation separately.

7. Equality Implications

- 7.1 The Scoping Assessment, included at Appendix 1 identifies that an Equality Impact Assessment (EqIA) is relevant to this decision. The EqIA (also at Appendix 1) identifies that, where there are identified impacts upon specific groups, these are expected to be positive. Compliance with the duties under S149 of the Equality Act 2010 can involve treating some persons more favourably than others, but it is not considered that there will be a negative impact on other groups with relevant protected characteristics.

8. Other Relevant Considerations

- 8.1 There are none.

9. Legal Implications

- 9.1 The collection and application of CIL is governed by the CIL Regulations 2010 (as amended). Regulation 59F states that, where there are no parish councils, the portion of CIL that would otherwise have been passed to parishes (which, where no neighbourhood plan is in place, is 15%) should be used to support the development of the relevant area by funding:

- “(a) the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of infrastructure; or
- (b) anything else that is concerned with addressing the demands that development places on an area.”

- 9.2 The ‘relevant area’ in this instance is the part of an authority’s area not covered by a parish council area, which in this case means the whole Borough.
- 9.3 An assessment of the degree to which each nominated project complies with Regulation 59F is included in Appendix 3.

10. Financial Implications

- 10.1 CIL funds can be used flexibly to fund any infrastructure projects as defined within the regulations and are not tied to a specific development or the provision of specific infrastructure. Of the total CIL receipts, 80% will be used to fund strategic infrastructure through the Council’s capital programme. 15% will be spent in the ‘relevant local area’ in which development occurs. The 15% local CIL does not have to be spent on items in the Infrastructure Funding Statement. Up to 5% of CIL will be allocated to cover CIL administration costs.
- 10.2 The amended CIL Spend Protocol agreed at Policy Committee on 15th February 2021 set out proposed procedures for dealing with the allocation and monitoring of the use of all CIL receipts and provides a framework for identifying projects that contribute to achieving

the Council's strategic priorities while meeting CIL regulations. This enables the optimum use of the finite resources available.

- 10.3 The summary position in relation to 15% local CIL funds collected up to 31st May 2024 is set out in Table 2 below.

Table 3: Summary position for 15% local CIL collected and allocated

15% local CIL collected up to 31/05/2024	£6.036m
Allocated in November 2018 by Policy Committee	£1.204m
Allocated in August 2020 by Decision Book	£0.135m
Allocated in June 2021 by Policy Committee	£1.557m
Allocated in March 2022 by Policy Committee	£1.623m
15% local CIL remaining unallocated	£1.517m
Proposed allocation in this report	£1.498m
15% local CIL remaining unallocated after proposed allocation (to be carried forward)	£0.019m

- 10.4 Up to 10% of the allocated funds can be used for project management costs. Revenue implications of the nominated projects are set out in relation to each project in Appendix 3. In most cases the revenue costs from those projects recommended for funding would be covered from existing maintenance budgets.

Value for Money (VFM)

- 10.5 The project assessment forms included in Appendix 3 include consideration of whether the nominated projects offer value for money. It is considered that all projects forming part of the recommendation for funding are likely to offer value for money.

Risk Assessment

- 10.6 The project assessment forms in Appendix 3 highlight where a financial risk has been identified for each project. In the event that schemes identified as part of the allocation are not delivered, remaining funds will be available for future allocations. The spend of CIL is not time-limited.

11. Timetable for Implementation

- 11.1 The timetable for delivery of individual projects is indicated on the project assessment forms in Appendix 3.

12. Background Papers

- 12.1 There are none.

Appendices

1. Equality Impact Assessment
2. Summary of assessment for each nominated project
3. Project assessment forms for each nominated project
4. Progress on projects previously allocated 15% local CIL funding

Appendix 1: Equality Impact Assessment



Provide basic details

Name of proposal/activity/policy to be assessed:

Allocation of 15% local CIL funds

Directorate: DEGNS – Directorate of Economic Growth and Neighbourhood Services

Service: Planning

Name: Mark Worringham

Job Title: Planning Policy Manager

Date of assessment: 29/05/2024

Scope your proposal

What is the aim of your policy or new service?

To allocate funds received through CIL receipts to local projects within the Borough, and to determine how future allocations will be undertaken.

Who will benefit from this proposal and how?

The local community will benefit through a range of capital and other improvements – including highway enhancements, improved leisure/open space enhancements and community enhancements.

What outcomes will the change achieve and for whom?

The outcome will be to secure improved facilities, improved accessibility and improvements to community facilities.

Who are the main stakeholders and what do they want?

The local community, wider public and community groups. The public will want to ensure allocations of funds are to projects that meet infrastructure needs and identified priorities, particularly where it arises from new development.

Assess whether an EIA is Relevant

How does your proposal relate to eliminating discrimination; promoting equality of opportunity; promoting good community relations?

Do you have evidence or reason to believe that some (racial, disability, gender, sexuality, age and religious belief) groups may be affected differently than others? (Think about your monitoring information, research, national data/reports etc)

Yes No

Is there already public concern about potentially discriminatory practices/impact or could there be? Think about your complaints, consultation, feedback.

Yes No

If the answer is **Yes** to any of the above you need to do an Equality Impact Assessment.

If No you **MUST** complete this statement

An Equality Impact Assessment is not relevant because: N/A

Assess the Impact of the Proposal

Your assessment must include:

- **Consultation**
- **Collection and Assessment of Data**
- **Judgement about whether the impact is negative or positive**

Consultation

Relevant groups/experts	How were/will the views of these groups be obtained	Date when contacted
A public consultation was undertaken on the future priorities for allocation of 15% local CIL, and results were reported to Policy Committee on 14 th June 2021.	An e-mail highlighting the consultation was sent to contacts on the Council's Citizen's panel list, as well as being included within the consultations list on the website.	February 2021

Collect and Assess your Data

Describe how could this proposal impact on Racial groups

No specific impacts are identified

Is there a negative impact? Yes No Not sure

Describe how could this proposal impact on Gender/transgender (cover pregnancy and maternity, marriage)

No specific impacts are identified.

Is there a negative impact? Yes No Not sure

Describe how could this proposal impact on Disability

Projects allocated funding will need to ensure appropriate access for all. Nominated projects include those for pedestrian crossings and dropped kerbs that will improve pedestrian access for all including those with impaired mobility.

Is there a negative impact? Yes No Not sure

Describe how could this proposal impact on Sexual orientation (cover civil partnership)

No specific impacts are identified.

Is there a negative impact? Yes No Not sure

Describe how could this proposal impact on Age

A number of the proposed allocations for funding are for improvement of children's play areas, which will have a positive impact on the quality of facilities available for children. A number of nominations are also for pedestrian crossings and dropped kerbs that will improve pedestrian access for all including elderly people.

Is there a negative impact? Yes No Not sure

Describe how could this proposal impact on Religious belief?

No specific impacts are identified.

Is there a negative impact? Yes No Not sure

Make a Decision

Tick which applies

- | | | | |
|---------------|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| 1. | No negative impact identified | Go to sign off | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. | Negative impact identified but there is a justifiable reason | You must give due regard or weight but this does not necessarily mean that the equality duty overrides other clearly conflicting statutory duties that you must comply with. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Reason | | | |
| 3. | Negative impact identified or uncertain | What action will you take to eliminate or reduce the impact? Set out your actions and timescale? | <input type="checkbox"/> |

How will you monitor for adverse impact in the future?

Individual projects will need to ensure that appropriate access for all is taken into account in each scheme. It is noted that some schemes will not receive funding from this allocation. Unfunded projects may receive future funding.

Signed (completing officer)	Mark Worringham	Date: 29 th May 2024
Signed (Lead Officer)	Mark Worringham	Date: 29 th May 2024

Appendix 2: Summary assessment for each nominated project

Ref	Title	Ward	Funds sought	CIL Regs	Deliv.	Financl	Consltn	Strategic	Growth	Conclusion
1	Landscape the verge along the route in front of the Irish Club along Chatham Street	Abbey	£15,000 (estimated)	High	Medium	High	High	Medium	High	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria.
2	Re-landscape the front garden of Somerstown Court	Abbey	£20,000 (estimated)	High	Medium	High	High	Low	Medium	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria.
3	Create a biodiversity friendly setting along the Gunther's Brook	Abbey	£20,000 (estimated)	High	Medium	High	High	Medium	High	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria.
4	Town centre tree planting with focus on harder to plant areas needing tree pits	Abbey	£150,000	High	Medium	Low	High	Medium	High	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria, but exceeds £100,000
5	Permanent planters on Oxford Road IDR over pass	Abbey	£20,000	High	Medium	High	High	Medium	High	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria but concerns over the lifetime of planters and would need engagement of the community for maintenance
6	Completion and implementation of the Play area in Victoria Park (if and as additional funds are needed)	Abbey	TBC	High	High	TBC	High	Medium	High	Not currently expected that additional CIL funds will be required
7	Biodiversity enhancement and landscaping on Chatham Place roundabout	Abbey	£15,000 (estimated)	High	Medium	High	High	Medium	High	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria.
8	Pocket Park biodiversity enhancement at Castle Hill roundabout (NW corner)	Abbey	£20,000	High	High	High	High	Medium	High	A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would meet most of the set criteria.
9	Kennet River water safety enhancements	Abbey	£30,000 (estimated)	High	Medium	High	High	Medium	Medium	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria. Potential to expand to other waterside areas e.g. Emmer Green pond.
10	Repair and cleaning and providing water to George Street allotments	Abbey	£20,000	High	Medium	High	High	High	High	Deliverability may be constrained by whether Thames Water will allow a non-residential connection. Otherwise meets all criteria.
11	Planting green spaces in Battle ward	Battle	£20,000 (estimated)	High	Medium	High	High	Medium	Low	Project needs additional scoping. Meets most criteria but is not well related to development location.
12	More greenery on the Oxford Road	Battle	£75,000	High	High	High	High	Medium	Low	A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would meet most of the set criteria, but is not well related to development location
13	Zebra crossing on Portman Road opposite Milk & More	Battle	£85,000	High	Medium	High	Medium	Medium	Medium	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria. Due to existing work programme unlikely to commence prior to 2025/26.
14	Zebra crossing on Beresford Road by Barnwood Close	Battle	-	High	Low	Low	Medium	Medium	Medium	Significant feasibility concerns around proximity to bus gate and width restrictions, raised eastern footway and

Ref	Title	Ward	Funds sought	CIL Regs	Deliv.	Financl	Consltn	Strategic	Growth	Conclusion
										remaining crossing desire line at roundabout. Due to existing work programme unlikely to commence prior to 2025/26.
15	Zebra crossing on Kensington Road opposite the Kensington Park	Battle	£82,000	High	Low	High	Medium	Medium	Medium	Requires removal of on-street parking which would likely cause issues. Due to existing work programme unlikely to commence prior to 2025/26.
16	Zebra crossing on Western Elms Avenue	Battle	£90,000 (+risks around the footway build-out costs)	High	Low	High	Medium	Medium	Medium	The feasible locations are some distance from the desire line. Due to existing work programme unlikely to commence prior to 2025/26.
17	Replacement of carpet on Kensington Park wicket	Battle	£8,000	High	High	High	High	Medium	Medium	A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would meet most of the set criteria.
18	Dropped kerbs on Curzon, Catherine and Audley Streets north to south	Battle	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Dropped kerbs are being funded from 80% CIL as part of an ongoing project. These nominations were put forward to this process and do not need to be considered further.
19	20mph zone for the Amersham Road estate area	Caversham	£40,000 estimate	High	High	High	Medium	Medium	Low	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria, but is not well related to development location. Due to existing work programme unlikely to commence prior to 2025/26.
20	Tree planting in public open spaces (Amersham Road and Westfield Recreation Ground)	Caversham	£50,000 (estimate)	High	Medium	High	High	Medium	Medium	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria
21	Traffic and crossing measures in Caversham Heights	Caversham Heights	£20-25k + £7-8k per illuminated sign (minimum of 2 likely).	High	Low	High	Medium	Medium	High	A project that would meet most of the set criteria but with significant concerns about deliverability. Due to existing work programme unlikely to commence prior to 2025/26.
22	Maintenance/refurbishment of Albert Rd Park tennis courts	Caversham Heights	£100,000 (estimate)	High	Medium	High	High	Medium	Low	A project that would meet most of the set criteria, but is not well related to development location
23	Adult outdoor gym equipment, extension of path, flower planting at southern part of Shinfield Recreation Ground	Church	£123,000	High	High	Low	High	Medium	Low	A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would exceed £100,000 and is not well related to development location.
24	Provision of graffiti resistant information boards within The Cowsey	Church	£50,000	High	High	High	High	High	Low	A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would meet most of the set criteria, but is not well related to development location
25	Installation of information board(s) and signage and improving pathway at Coley Water Meadows	Coley and Southcote	£50,000	High	High	High	High	Medium	Medium	A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would meet most of the set criteria.
26	Various improvements to Coley Community Centre	Coley	Dependent on scope of project	High	TBC	TBC	Medium	Low	Low	Would require additional scoping to define the project

Ref	Title	Ward	Funds sought	CIL Regs	Deliv.	Financl	Consltn	Strategic	Growth	Conclusion
27	Replacement of outdated/broken community noticeboards in Katesgrove	Katesgrove	£15,000	High	High	High	High	Low	Low	A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would meet most of the set criteria but has limited links to the impact of development
28	Clean and enhance the underpass from Katesgrove Lane to the County Lock and general enhancement of the area	Katesgrove and Abbey	£100,000	High	High	High	High	Medium	Medium	A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would meet most of the set criteria.
29	Provide barriers around the estate off Whitley Street that incorporates Elizabeth Walk	Katesgrove	£25,000 should deliver up to 10 sites	High	High	High	High	Low	Low	A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would meet most of the set criteria but has limited links to the impact of development
30	Provide adult gym equipment to be provided in Katesgrove ward, possibly at the Waterloo Meadow playground area	Katesgrove	£55,000	High	Medium	High	High	Medium	High	A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would meet most of the set criteria but specific locations would need to be identified.
31	Large 20 mph zone for Katesgrove ward	Katesgrove	Dependent on scope of project	High	Low	Low	Medium	Medium	High	There is considerable work to be undertaken on working up a potentially deliverable proposal for this nomination that can be costed or assessed.
32	Purchase and instal two concrete outdoor public chess tables in Palmer Park with associated chair	Park	£10,000	High	High	High	High	Medium	Low	Two chess tables now funded from other sources. Nominator has requested that nomination remains in place to secure additional provision. A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would meet most of the set criteria, but is not well related to development location
33	Bike hanger for Newtown to provide secure on street bike parking	Park	Approximately £8,000	High	Medium	TBC	Medium	Medium	Low	Would require agreement with residents on location. Further work required to identify costs. Not well related to development location
34	Convert more lampposts to electric car chargers (Park, Redlands and Katesgrove)	Katesgrove, Park, Redlands	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	EV chargers will be covered by the EV Charging Installation programme - tender to go out this year. No need for further consideration here
35	Install 20 mph roundels on every road in the existing 20 mph zone	Park	£5,000 minimum estimate, including Temporary Traffic Regulation Order.	High	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Low	Deliverable, but officer view is that additional roundels are unlikely to be effective in this area. Due to existing work programme unlikely to commence prior to 2025/26. Not well related to development location.
36	Install solar panels on most suitable community centres in Park ward	Park	Dependent on number of centres	High	TBC	TBC	High	High	Low	Needs additional work to identify locations.

Ref	Title	Ward	Funds sought	CIL Regs	Deliv.	Financl	Consltn	Strategic	Growth	Conclusion
37	Install traffic calming measures to slow traffic on St Bartholomew's Road	Park	£45,000	High	Medium	High	Medium	Medium	Low	Vertical features most likely to be effective and are potentially deliverable. Due to existing work programme unlikely to commence prior to 2025/26. Not well related to development location.
38	Relandscaping and environmentally-friendly planting of flower bed at Junction of Granby Court and De Beauvoir Road	Redlands	£10,000 (estimate)	High	Low	High	High	Medium	Low	Available space and utility services may constrain delivery. Not well related to impacts of development.
39	Signalled pedestrian crossing at junction of Craven Road and London Road	Redlands	£150,000	High	Medium	Low	High	Medium	Medium	Feasibility concerns with delivery of a crossing in this location. Would exceed £100,000. Due to existing work programme unlikely to commence prior to 2025/26.
40	Removal of the priority flow feature at the junction of Allcroft Road and Redlands Road	Redlands	£25,000 (overall cost)	High	Medium	High	High	Medium	Medium	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria. Due to existing work programme unlikely to commence prior to 2025/26.
41	Amend the width restriction build-outs on Redlands Road to include cycle-through bypasses	Redlands	Additional £12,000 estimated, above the £25k estimate for the Allcroft Road junction nomination.	High	Medium	High	High	Medium	Medium	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria. Due to existing work programme unlikely to commence prior to 2025/26.
42	Purchase of mobile CCTV camera to deter fly-tipping at Huntley Court, Erleigh Road	Redlands	Not known	High	Medium	High	Medium	Medium	Low	A project that would meet most of the set criteria, but is not well related to the impacts of development.
43	Implementation of speed reduction measures on Eastern Avenue	Redlands	£50,000	High	Medium	High	High	Medium	Medium	Potentially deliverable scheme that meets most of the set criteria. Alternate parking bay proposal being progressed through another programme. Due to existing work programme unlikely to commence prior to 2025/26.
44	Add a non-turf cricket pitch and a two net training facility at Cintra Park	Redlands	£61,000	High	Medium	High	High	Medium	Medium	A project that would meet most of the set criteria
45	Reconfigure the layout of the pedestrian access on the east green at Christchurch Green	Redlands	£5,000	High	High	High	Medium	Medium	Low	A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would meet most of the set criteria but has limited links to the impact of development
46	Install bike racks at Cintra Park	Redlands	Not known	High	TBC	Medium	High	Medium	Medium	Meets most criteria but deliverability of the project has not been confirmed
47	Remove the build outs on Redlands Road next to Allcroft Road and replacement with alternative measures	Redlands	£25,000 (overall cost)	High	Medium	High	High	Medium	Medium	See nomination 40

Ref	Title	Ward	Funds sought	CIL Regs	Deliv.	Financl	Consltn	Strategic	Growth	Conclusion
48	Preventing Kendrick Road bell entrance from London Road being used as a chicane to avoid the traffic lights on London Road	Redlands	£6-10,000	High	Low	High	High	Medium	Medium	Further detailed design work needed to confirm deliverability.
49	Install a pedestrian crossing to on Elmhurst Road, just to the east of the junction with Marlborough Ave	Redlands	£90,000	High	Low	High	High	Medium	Medium	Meets most of the criteria but there are significant question marks around deliverability. Due to existing work programme unlikely to commence prior to 2025/26.
50	Refurbishment of bench at the junction of Southcote Rd and Tilehurst Rd	Southcote	£1,000	High	High	High	Medium	Low	Low	A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would meet most of the set criteria but has limited links to the impact of development
51	Bath Road/Granville Road underpass enhancement	Southcote	£26,000 total (£20k for lighting. £1k deep clean. £5k art)	High	Medium	High	Medium	Low	Low	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria, but is not well related to development location
52	Ashampstead Road barrier replacement	Southcote	£30,000	High	High	High	Medium	Low	Low	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria, but is not well related to development location
53	Infill footpath in Southcote Linear Park along the Holy Brook close to the allotments	Southcote	£100,000 (estimate)	High	Medium	High	High	Medium	Medium	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria
54	Enhance the green in the Horncastle Conservation Area	Southcote	£20,000	High	High	High	Low	Medium	Low	A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would meet most of the set criteria, but is not well related to development location
55	Alternative road closure at Printers Road	Thames	£15-20,000	High	Medium	High	High	Medium	High	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria. Due to existing work programme unlikely to commence prior to 2025/26.
56	Repaint and resurface the footbridge over the Kennet between Kennet Walk and Avon Place	Thames	£200,000	High	Low	Low	High	Medium	High	Costs likely to be high in part due to complexity of working over water. Exceeds £100,000. Due to existing work programme unlikely to commence prior to 2025/26.
57	Installation of additional river safety equipment along Thames Promenade and potentially more exit points	Thames	£25,000	High	High	High	High	Medium	Medium	A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would meet most of the set criteria.
58	Improvement of active travel connections to The River Academy	Thames	£100,000 (estimate)	High	Low	High	High	Medium	High	Would meet most of the criteria but the nature of the measures require significant additional scoping
59	Improving the appearance of the public areas of the Orts Road Estate	Thames	£100,000 (estimate)	High	Medium	High	High	Low	High	Would meet most of the criteria but the extent of the project requires significant additional scoping

Ref	Title	Ward	Funds sought	CIL Regs	Deliv.	Financl	Consltn	Strategic	Growth	Conclusion
60	Repair, revamp or replace Ayrton Senna Close play area	Tilehurst	£50,000	High	High	High	High	Medium	Low	A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would meet most of the set criteria but is not well related to development location
61	20mph zone for Gratwicke Road, Recreation Road and Blundells Road	Tilehurst	£130,000	High	Medium	Low	High	Medium	Low	Based on an area covering these streets, plus Green Acre Mount, Bramble Crescent and Thicket Road, project is potentially deliverable but is not well related to development location. Exceeds £100,000. Due to existing work programme unlikely to commence prior to 2025/26.
62	Revamping Meadway Recreation Ground, including zip line, swings, ground surface and provision for children with disabilities.	Tilehurst	£300,000	High	High	Low	High	Medium	Low	A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would meet some of the set criteria but is not well related to development location and would significantly exceed £100K

Appendix 3: Project assessment forms for each nominated project

1			
Project Title	Landscape the verge along the route in front of the Irish Club along Chatham Street		
Nominee	Councillor Rowland (on behalf of all Abbey ward councillors) ¹	Ward	Abbey
Project Officer	Chris Camfield, Graeme Rasdall-Lawes	Department	Streetscene
Project Description (as nominated)	To landscape attractively the verge along the route in front of the Irish Club along Chatham Street. The verge is already planted with trees but is prone to over growing with untended grasses. The idea would be to enhance the verge with a more formal planting scheme with low maintenance plantings - or if not to at least create a rewilding verge. The pavement largely hidden below from the street there will be increasingly used and the area that is more visible from the street needs desire lines properly landscaped/ tended to and it could easily become a rewilding verge, although litter management could be an issue along the route.		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	2024/25 or 2025/26
Risks	None identified
Resources	No significant implications
External dependencies	None identified
Comment	A potentially deliverable project

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£15,000 (estimated)
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	None identified
Value for Money	Represents value for money
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
General environmental enhancements	Ranked 5th priority in Reading Ranked 2nd priority in Abbey (old boundaries)

¹ References to all ward Councillors refer to those at the time of nominations, i.e. November 2023

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Not specifically identified, delivers "Other measures which help to mitigate the impact the development has on the area"
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "town centre access and public realm enhancements" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	High level of development in ward - 32.4% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	Weldale Street. 115 Chatham Street
Degree to which this supports residential development	Medium - improves public realm for new residents.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • A deliverable project • £15,000 sought • Accords well with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • Meets infrastructure needs from high level of growth in area

[Return to summary table](#)

2			
Project Title	Re-landscape the front garden of Somerstown Court		
Nominee	Councillor Rowland (on behalf of all Abbey ward councillors)	Ward	Abbey
Project Officer	Chris Camfield	Department	Streetscene
Project Description (as nominated)	<p>The open space at Somerstown Ct at the corner of Tilehurst Rd and Prospect St has been in dismal shape for years. The planting there, if not cut back, can harbour ASB- the homeless and drug use and prostitution as it has done in the past. The current planting there has no form or recognisable landscaping scheme to it and needs to be remade over.</p> <p>This would be an ideal place to likely pull out what is there and start over with species appropriate trees with good canopy cover. It could also be highly appropriate for an orchard. Better landscaping could also provide a good biodiversity rich planting area (rewilding combined with orchard, tree planting possibly). It could also be more formally landscaped but that would bring about cost implications that I think would be unsustainable inasmuch as I feel that a Pollinator friendly rewilding and orchard look might be better in this instance.</p>		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	2024/25 or 2025/26
Risks	None identified
Resources	No significant implications
External dependencies	None identified
Comment	A potentially deliverable project

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£20,000 (estimated)
Capital Funding – Additional funds required	None
Revenue considerations	None identified
Value for Money	Represents value for money
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
General environmental enhancements	Ranked 5th priority in Reading Ranked 2nd priority in Abbey (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Not specifically identified, delivers "Other measures which help to mitigate the impact the development has on the area"
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	None
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	High level of development in ward - 32.4% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	Low - improves public realm for new residents but specific location is not amongst the most used public realm.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Meets CIL Regulations• A deliverable project• £20,000 sought• Accords well with priorities identified through consultation• No clear link with strategic priorities• High level of growth in area but project has limited relationship with growth

[Return to summary table](#)

3			
Project Title	Create a biodiversity friendly setting along the Gunther's Brook		
Nominee	Councillor Rowland (on behalf of all Abbey ward councillors)	Ward	Abbey
Project Officer	Chris Camfield	Department	Streetscene
Project Description (as nominated)	<p>To create a biodiversity friendly setting along the small Gunther's Brook, a small tributary off of the Kennet, just east of the Queen's car park.</p> <p>Gunther's Brook has been better tended to of late, but recently has increasingly succumbed to over growth of trees and lack of landscaping and care.</p> <p>The side of the Brook needs to be re- landscaped incorporating bio diversity benefits for the brook itself with appropriate trees and plants. Instead of being a litter trap, it can actually shelter water birds, wildlife that are attracted to waterside settings.</p>		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	2024/25 or 2025/26
Risks	None identified
Resources	No significant implications
External dependencies	None identified
Comment	A potentially deliverable project

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£20,000 (estimated)
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	None identified
Value for Money	Represents value for money
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Natural environment	Ranked 3rd priority in Reading Ranked 4th priority in Abbey (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Not specifically identified, delivers "Other measures which help to mitigate the impact the development has on the area"

Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “town centre access and public realm enhancements” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Biodiversity Action Plan

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	High level of development in ward - 32.4% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	Medium - biodiversity improvements offset impacts of new development

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • A deliverable project • £20,000 sought • Accords well with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • Meets infrastructure needs from high level of growth in area

[Return to summary table](#)

4			
Project Title	Town centre tree planting with focus on harder to plant areas needing tree pits		
Nominee	Councillor Rowland (on behalf of all Abbey ward councillors)	Ward	Abbey
Project Officer	Sam Shean, Chris Camfield	Department	Highways, Streetscene
Project Description (as nominated)	<p>Town Centre / Abbey ward has the lowest tree canopy cover in the town and if we are to get it up we have got to begin to place trees where we know they are needed in areas with hard standing- This can ideally be Town Centre tree planting where might possibly be needed but also along Abbey ward residential streets without trees (especially residential streets such as Great Knollys St, Bedford Road, George St, Zinzan St, Carey St, Waylen Street)</p> <p>Abbey ward Cllrs would like to appropriate up to 50k worth of costs to plant trees in the Town Centre or along treeless streets in the ward. The focus of the funding is specifically to fund trees that will need special tree pits etc in order to fit in with tight infrastructure.</p>		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria, but exceeds £100,000		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	2024/25 & 25/26
Risks	Utility services
Resources	No significant implications
External dependencies	None identified
Comment	Utility services will prove a challenge within Town Centre, but generally deliverable

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£150,000
Capital Funding – Additional funds required	None
Revenue considerations	None identified
Value for Money	Represents value for money
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Natural environment	Ranked 3rd priority in Reading Ranked 4th priority in Abbey (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment

Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced, but under Healthy Environment the tree planting commitments are outlined.
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Not specifically identified, delivers "Other measures which help to mitigate the impact the development has on the area"
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "town centre access and public realm enhancements" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Tree Strategy

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	High level of development in ward - 32.4% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	Various
Degree to which this supports residential development	High - tree planting represents vital infrastructure to support residential development in adapting to climate change.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • A deliverable project • £150,000 sought • Accords well with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • Meets infrastructure needs from high level of growth in area
--

[Return to summary table](#)

5			
Project Title	Permanent planters on Oxford Road IDR over pass		
Nominee	Councillor Rowland (on behalf of all Abbey ward councillors)	Ward	Abbey
Project Officer	Sam Shean	Department	Highways
Project Description (as nominated)	<p>Providing a permanent replacement for the wooden structures as built and put onto the Bridge in appx 2015. The hope is large permanent metal, or MDF planters could provide a less flimsy structure and also an opportunity to place a substantial tree at the centre of each with additional planting.</p> <p>The plantings on the Bridge have withstood the “test of time” in providing substantial colour and beauty to an otherwise bleak urban landscape. It enhances the transition from the true Town Centre to the Conservation Area residential area along the Oxford Road.</p>		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria but concerns over the lifetime of planters and would need engagement of the community for maintenance		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	2024/25
Risks	Limited life span if not maintained.
Resources	Highways, Parks
External dependencies	Urban Planting Ltd
Comment	A deliverable project but planters do not last - they need to be removed at end of life and new funding sought. Suggest engaging Urban Planting Ltd to maintain them by bringing community to water, harvest seeds etc.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£20,000
Capital Funding – Additional funds required	None
Revenue considerations	None identified
Value for Money	Represents value for money as long as maintained
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
General environmental enhancements	Ranked 5th priority in Reading Ranked 2nd priority in Abbey (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced

Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Not specifically identified, delivers "Other measures which help to mitigate the impact the development has on the area"
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "town centre access and public realm enhancements" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	High level of development in ward - 32.4% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	Broad Street Mall
Degree to which this supports residential development	Medium - improves public realm for new residents.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • A deliverable project but concerns over maintenance arrangements • £20,000 sought • Accords well with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • Meets infrastructure needs from high level of growth in area
--

[Return to summary table](#)

6			
Project Title	Completion and implementation of the Play area in Victoria Park (if and as additional funds are needed)		
Nominee	Councillor Rowland (on behalf of all Abbey ward councillors)	Ward	Abbey
Project Officer	Bridget Hickey	Department	Parks
Project Description (as nominated)	<p>To allocate funds as and if needed for the completion and implementation of the Play area in Victoria Park. If necessary (and not being able to be funded by Sec 106 from Station Hill), for repairs to the bund with knee rail fencing (estm 3-40k) along the back gravel alley way at the south of the park to eliminate excessive car parking and dumping.</p> <p>Abbey ward Cllrs are suggesting that a top- up of up to £50k might be needed for the play area / Victoria Park due to the inability so far to be able to deliver the project. It is expected that as costs continue to rise, this may very well be needed and as such this is as much a place- holder to ensure delivery of those improvements.</p>		
Project conclusion	Not currently expected that additional CIL funds will be required		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	2024/25
Risks	None identified
Resources	No significant implications
External dependencies	None identified
Comment	This site already benefits from a 15% CIL allocation of £231K. Additional S106 funds are also potentially available. Initial officer view is that S106 funds should be used rather than an additional 15% allocation.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	TBC – depends on extent of any remaining funding needed
Capital Funding – Additional funds required	None, although other options available as outlined above
Revenue considerations	Not known
Value for Money	Not known
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Play areas and public open spaces	Ranked 1st priority in Reading Ranked 6th priority in Abbey (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced, but under Healthy Environment and Inclusive Economy the improvement of parks and open spaces is referenced.
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers "Open space improvements/small scale leisure".
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "open spaces strategy" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Health and Wellbeing Strategy 2021-30

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	High level of development in ward - 32.4% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	High - Improved facilities in play areas and open spaces represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Meets CIL Regulations• Delivery of the project is already underway• Not clear what the funding requirement would be at this stage• Accords well with priorities identified through consultation• Accords with strategic priorities• Meets infrastructure needs from high level of growth in area

[Return to summary table](#)

7			
Project Title	Biodiversity enhancement and landscaping on Chatham Place roundabout		
Nominee	Councillor Rowland (on behalf of all Abbey ward councillors)	Ward	Abbey
Project Officer	Chris Camfield, Graeme Rasdall-Lawes	Department	Streetscene
Project Description (as nominated)	Biodiversity enhancement and landscaping on roundabout. The roundabout is unattractive, poorly attended to and can easily use enhancements in creating a much greener and more attractive entrance to the town off the IDR		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	2024/25 or 2025/26
Risks	None identified
Resources	No significant implications
External dependencies	None identified
Comment	A potentially deliverable project

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£15,000 (estimated)
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	None identified
Value for Money	Represents value for money
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
General environmental enhancements	Ranked 5th priority in Reading Ranked 2nd priority in Abbey (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Not specifically identified, delivers "Other measures which help to mitigate the impact the development has on the area"
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "biodiversity plan" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Biodiversity Action Plan

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	High level of development in ward - 32.4% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	Weldale Street
Degree to which this supports residential development	Medium - improves public realm for new residents.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Meets CIL Regulations• A deliverable project• £15,000 sought• Accords well with priorities identified through consultation• Accords with strategic priorities• Meets infrastructure needs from high level of growth in area
--

[Return to summary table](#)

8			
Project Title	Pocket Park biodiversity enhancement at Castle Hill roundabout (NW corner)		
Nominee	Councillor Rowland (on behalf of all Abbey ward councillors)	Ward	Abbey
Project Officer	Sam Shean	Department	Highways
Project Description (as nominated)	A re- design of the area with plant bee/ insect friendly plantings- enhance with biodiversity elements (interactive insect hotels, bird boxes, bat boxes, etc). Clear out beds – save what trees can be saved- but open up for sunlight.		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would meet most of the set criteria.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	2024/2025 financial year
Risks	Low - utility services may be a risk
Resources	None - intend to deliver with Highways & Drainage Teams
External dependencies	None identified
Comment	Depending on services this scheme is deliverable

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£20,000
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	None identified
Value for Money	Represents value for money
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Natural environment	Ranked 3rd priority in Reading Ranked 4th priority in Abbey (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Not specifically identified, delivers "Other measures which help to mitigate the impact the development has on the area"
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "biodiversity plan" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Biodiversity Action Plan

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	High level of development in ward - 32.4% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	Hosier Street
Degree to which this supports residential development	Medium - biodiversity improvements offset impacts of new development

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	Highways are committed to improving biodiversity, reducing carbon footprint, tree and appropriate planting to increase canopy cover.
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Meets CIL Regulations• A deliverable project within 2024/25• £20,000 sought• Accords well with priorities identified through consultation• Accords with strategic priorities• Meets infrastructure needs from high level of growth in area

[Return to summary table](#)

9			
Project Title	Kennet River water safety enhancements		
Nominee	Councillor Rowland (on behalf of all Abbey ward councillors)	Ward	Abbey
Project Officer	Various	Department	Various
Project Description (as nominated)	As per an initiative to ensure that we have a Reading -based Water Safety Partnership (please see minutes of the CSP item 4) that have outlined the need for this, Abbey ward Cllrs would like to look into what sort of CIL funding could support the placement of throwlines along the Kennet or to support the Partnership being discussed for better River safety. I think this chimes well with work Thames ward Cllrs are looking to do around this issue along the Thames.		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria. Potential to expand to other waterside areas e.g. Emmer Green pond.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	2024/25 or 2025/26
Risks	None identified
Resources	No significant implications
External dependencies	A range of stakeholders are likely to need to be involved
Comment	Subject to further investigation through partnership meeting.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£30,000
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	None identified
Value for Money	Represents value for money
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
General environmental enhancements	Ranked 5th priority in Reading Ranked 2nd priority in Abbey (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Not specifically identified, delivers "Other measures which help to mitigate the impact the development has on the area"
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "town centre access and public realm enhancements" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan

Other	No
-------	----

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	High level of development in ward - 32.4% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	Low - more to address existing issues than support new development.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	£30,000 will enable this to be a wider programme of safety enhancements that could also cover other waterside areas such as Emmer Green pond
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • A potentially deliverable project • £30,000 sought • Accords well with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • High level of growth in area but project mainly addresses existing needs

[Return to summary table](#)

10			
Project Title	Repair and cleaning of George Street allotments		
Nominee	Councillor Rowland (on behalf of all Abbey ward councillors)	Ward	Abbey
Project Officer	Chris Camfield, Graeme Rasdall-Lawes	Department	Streetscene
Project Description (as nominated)	Repair and cleaning of site. Most importantly, bringing water to the site so the site can be truly functional.		
Project conclusion	Deliverability may be constrained by whether Thames Water will allow a non-residential connection. Otherwise meets all criteria.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	2024/25 or 2025/26
Risks	Not clear whether Thames Water would consent to connection of a non-residential water use within a residential supply area
Resources	No significant implications
External dependencies	Thames Water as above
Comment	

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£20,000
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	None identified
Value for Money	Represents value for money
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Play areas and public open spaces	Ranked 1st priority in Reading Ranked 6th priority in Abbey (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced, but under Healthy Environment and Inclusive Economy the improvement of parks and open spaces is referenced.
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers "Open space improvements/small scale leisure".
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "allotment creation and enhancement" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	High level of development in ward - 32.4% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	Medium - improved allotments provide a resource for new residents.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Meets CIL Regulations• Potentially deliverable but dependent on securing water supply• £20,000 sought• Accords well with priorities identified through consultation• Accords well with strategic priorities• Meets infrastructure needs from high level of growth in area
--

[Return to summary table](#)

11			
Project Title	Planting green spaces in Battle ward		
Nominee	Councillor Griffith (on behalf of all Battle ward councillors)	Ward	Battle
Project Officer	Various	Department	Various
Project Description (as nominated)	We would like to encourage community engagement by using green spaces to grow flowers and perhaps food. We would also like to use hedgerows to control parking. Bulbs for planting to beautify unused spaces.		
Project conclusion	Project needs additional scoping. Meets most criteria but is not well related to development location.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Not clear on extent of works required and therefore likely timescale
Risks	As above
Resources	As above
External dependencies	As above
Comment	Project needs considerable further scoping work before deliverability can be assessed

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£20,000 estimated
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	None identified
Value for Money	Dependent on detailed proposals
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Play areas and public open spaces	Ranked 1st priority in Reading Ranked 1st priority in Battle (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced, but under Healthy Environment and Inclusive Economy the improvement of parks and open spaces is referenced.
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “Open space improvements/small scale leisure”.
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “biodiversity plan” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Biodiversity Action Plan

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Low level of development in ward - 3.0% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	Medium - biodiversity improvements offset impacts of new development

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Meets CIL Regulations• A deliverable project• £20,000 sought• Accords well with priorities identified through consultation• Accords with strategic priorities• Low level of growth in area

[Return to summary table](#)

12			
Project Title	More greenery on the Oxford Road		
Nominee	Councillor Griffith (on behalf of all Battle ward councillors)	Ward	Battle
Project Officer	Sam Shean, Chris Camfield	Department	Highways, Streetscene
Project Description (as nominated)	We'd like to see more greenery on the Oxford Road, trees and flowers between Battle Library and Reading West Station.		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would meet most of the set criteria, but is not well related to development location		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	2024/2025 Financial year
Risks	Medium: utility services / sightline safety / street clutter
Resources	No significant implications
External dependencies	None identified
Comment	Deliverable subject to utility services. Significant work needed to narrow down scope. Existing Pocket Garden allocation from 80% CIL is fully committed.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£75,000
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	2-year watering programme but can possibly be covered by Highways budget
Value for Money	Represents value for money
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Natural environment	Ranked 3rd priority in Reading Ranked 3rd priority in Battle (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Not specifically identified, delivers "Other measures which help to mitigate the impact the development has on the area"
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "biodiversity plan" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Biodiversity Action Plan

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Low level of development in ward - 3.0% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	Medium - improves public realm for new residents.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Meets CIL Regulations• A deliverable project in 2024/25• £75,000 sought• Accords well with priorities identified through consultation• Accords with strategic priorities• Low level of growth in area
--

[Return to summary table](#)

13			
Project Title	Zebra crossing on Portman Road opposite Milk & More		
Nominee	Councillor Griffith (on behalf of all Battle ward councillors)	Ward	Battle
Project Officer	James Penman	Department	Network Management
Project Description (as nominated)	We request a zebra crossing on Portman Road to give priority to pedestrians as they cross this very busy road.		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria. Due to existing work programme unlikely to commence prior to 2025/26.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Due to existing pipeline of work, development unlikely to commence before FY 25/26. Thereafter, a development and delivery 'order' will need to be agreed in the context of other schemes agreed for this round of CIL funding.
Risks	Exact positioning dependant on meeting visibility standards, for which speed survey results are a factor. Alignment with other facilities (see deliverability comments). Requires statutory consultation.
Resources	Engineering (internal), legal, external survey (speed) contractor, external road safety auditor, Highways delivery, external street lighting contractor and supplier, external road marking contractor.
External dependencies	Material and equipment supply, costs, and electrical connections.
Comment	May need to remove existing dropped crossing to encourage use of this controlled facility. Likely need to widen a section of footway on the south side for safe waiting and cycle turning. Will involve paving small areas of verge to create the crossing points. Estimate for costing and deliverability based on a flat/carrage-way-level facility (i.e. not a raised crossing).

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£85,000
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	Lining maintenance, maintenance of any special surfacing that needs to be applied. Ongoing electrical supply costs.
Value for Money	Represents good value for money
Comment	High-level estimate

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4th priority in Reading Ranked 5th priority in Battle (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced, but under Healthy Environment and Inclusive Economy the promotion of active travel is referenced.
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers "Local highway improvement projects".
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "network management, junction improvements and road safety" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Low level of development in ward - 3.0% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	High - Improvements to road safety represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	Need to consider in the context of S106 funding to improve pedestrian/cycling links in the vicinity, which cannot be used alongside CIL - need to be separate schemes, but good opportunity to make them complementary. Strongly recommend that this is a tiger crossing, to ensure cycle and pedestrian linking and the high-level cost estimate reflects this.
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Meets CIL Regulations• A deliverable project but would not commence until 2025/26 at the earliest• £85,000 sought• Accords with priorities identified through consultation• Accords with strategic priorities• Type of infrastructure supports growth, but low level of growth in area

[Return to summary table](#)

14			
Project Title	Zebra crossing on Beresford Road by Barnwood Close		
Nominee	Councillor Griffith (on behalf of all Battle ward councillors)	Ward	Battle
Project Officer	James Penman	Department	Network Management
Project Description (as nominated)	We request a zebra crossing on Beresford Road by Barnwood Close to slow traffic and to give priority to pedestrians/children as they cross the road to the Beresford Rd play park.		
Project conclusion	Significant feasibility concerns around proximity to bus gate and width restrictions, raised eastern footway and remaining crossing desire line at roundabout. Due to existing work programme unlikely to commence prior to 2025/26.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Due to existing pipeline of work, development unlikely to commence before FY 25/26. Thereafter, a development and delivery 'order' will need to be agreed in the context of other schemes agreed for this round of CIL funding.
Risks	Feasibility concerns (see deliverability comments).
Resources	Engineering (internal), legal, external survey (speed) contractor, external road safety auditor, Highways delivery, external street lighting contractor and supplier, external road marking contractor.
External dependencies	Material and equipment supply, costs, and electrical connections.
Comment	Feasibility concerns: 1. Proximity to (and interaction with) bus gate and width restrictions (regulatory signing) creating strong potential for distraction for the crossing, or vice versa. 2. Eastern footway slightly raised - may not be able to provide compliant gradient down to road level in the available space. Will be potentially costly to install retaining structure even if feasible. 3. There will remain a crossing desire line at the roundabout, which would not be feasible for a controlled crossing location for visibility standard compliance reasons.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	Not considered to be deliverable
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	N/A
Revenue considerations	Lining maintenance, maintenance of any special surfacing that needs to be applied. Ongoing electrical supply costs.
Value for Money	N/A
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4th priority in Reading Ranked 5th priority in Battle (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced, but under Healthy Environment and Inclusive Economy the promotion of active travel is referenced.
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers "Local highway improvement projects".
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "network management, junction improvements and road safety" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Low level of development in ward - 3.0% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	High - Improvements to road safety represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	At this time, this is not considered to be feasible, given the proximity of the junction to the bus gate/width restriction. Most of the feasibility concerns are removed if the bus gate was removed, but vehicle speeds (and volumes or HGVs) would increase, as would the scheme costs.
--	---

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Meets CIL Regulations• Not considered likely to be deliverable• Funding required not known• Accords with priorities identified through consultation• Accords with strategic priorities• Type of infrastructure supports growth, but low level of growth in area
--

[Return to summary table](#)

15			
Project Title	Zebra crossing on Kensington Road opposite the Kensington Park		
Nominee	Councillor Griffith (on behalf of all Battle ward councillors)	Ward	Battle
Project Officer	James Penman	Department	Network Management
Project Description (as nominated)	We request a zebra crossing on Kensington Road to give priority to pedestrians as they cross the road to very busy and well-loved park.		
Project conclusion	Requires removal of on-street parking which would likely cause issues. Due to existing work programme unlikely to commence prior to 2025/26.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Due to existing pipeline of work, development unlikely to commence before FY 25/26. Thereafter, a development and delivery 'order' will need to be agreed in the context of other schemes agreed for this round of CIL funding.
Risks	Requires removal of on-street parking, which requires statutory consultation - likely to be objectionable. Requires statutory consultation for the crossing.
Resources	Engineering (internal), legal, external road safety auditor, Highways delivery, external street lighting contractor and supplier, external road marking contractor.
External dependencies	Material and equipment supply, costs, and electrical connections.
Comment	Primary risks are around the requirement to remove on-street parking and the objections that this will likely raise.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£82,000
Capital Funding – Additional funds required	None
Revenue considerations	Lining maintenance, maintenance of any special surfacing that needs to be applied. Ongoing electrical supply costs.
Value for Money	Depends on the desire line for road crossing and, ultimately, how much use a facility at this location would receive.
Comment	High-level estimate

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4th priority in Reading Ranked 5th priority in Battle (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced, but under Healthy Environment and Inclusive Economy the promotion of active travel is referenced.

Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers "Local highway improvement projects".
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "network management, junction improvements and road safety" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Low level of development in ward - 3.0% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	High - Improvements to road safety represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	The pedestrian park entrance is opposite Prince of Wales Avenue, so is not feasible for a controlled crossing point. At any location between that and the car park, delivery of a crossing will require significant removal of parking. A section between the car park entrance and exit has been identified as potentially viable, with the removal of parking on both sides of the road for the width of the car park. It is unclear whether this is the desire line that has prompted this request (i.e. would this be useful?), but impact to parking is minimised with the entrances and school keep clear restrictions. The costing estimates are based on this option, as a carriageway-level (not raised) crossing.
--	---

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • Deliverability concerns around removal of on-street parking • £82,000 sought • Accords with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • Type of infrastructure supports growth, but low level of growth in area

[Return to summary table](#)

16			
Project Title	Zebra crossing on Western Elms Avenue		
Nominee	Councillor Griffith (on behalf of all Battle ward councillors)	Ward	Battle
Project Officer	James Penman	Department	Network Management
Project Description (as nominated)	We request a zebra crossing on Western Elms Avenue to slow traffic and to give priority to pedestrians as they cross the road to the play park.		
Project conclusion	The feasible locations are some distance from the desire line. Due to existing work programme unlikely to commence prior to 2025/26.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Due to existing pipeline of work, development unlikely to commence before FY 25/26. Thereafter, a development and delivery 'order' will need to be agreed in the context of other schemes agreed for this round of CIL funding.
Risks	Requires removal of on-street parking, which requires statutory consultation - likely to be objectionable. Requires statutory consultation for the crossing, which would be directly outside residential properties. Footway build-out will be costly, with additional risks around buried services and drainage on this hill.
Resources	Engineering (internal), legal, external survey (speed) contractor, external road safety auditor, Highways delivery, external street lighting contractor and supplier, external road marking contractor.
External dependencies	Material and equipment supply, costs, and electrical connections. Potential requirement for utility diversions.
Comment	Moving north from Tilehurst Road, the first potential option is around No.57/59, to meet visibility requirements and avoid dropped crossings. This will be some way from the desire line for pedestrians travelling along Tilehurst Road. This will require the complete removal of the parking bay opposite (its entire length) and will require the building out of the eastern footway, which is otherwise too narrow to accommodate the required zebra crossing equipment. Risks that removing on street parking may increase vehicle speeds when the crossing is not in use.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£90,000 (+risks around the footway build-out costs)
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	Lining maintenance, maintenance of any special surfacing that needs to be applied. Ongoing electrical supply costs.
Value for Money	Depends on the desire line for road crossing and, ultimately, how much use a facility at this location would receive.

Comment	High-level estimate
---------	---------------------

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4th priority in Reading Ranked 5th priority in Battle (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced, but under Healthy Environment and Inclusive Economy the promotion of active travel is referenced.
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers "Local highway improvement projects".
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "network management, junction improvements and road safety" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Low level of development in ward - 3.0% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	High - Improvements to road safety represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • A location that matches the desire line may not be feasible • £90,000 sought • Accords with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • Type of infrastructure supports growth, but low level of growth in area

[Return to summary table](#)

17			
Project Title	Replacement of carpet on Kensington Park wicket		
Nominee	Councillor Griffith (on behalf of all Battle ward councillors)	Ward	Battle
Project Officer	Chris Camfield	Department	Streetscene
Project Description (as nominated)	<p>Replacement of trough on this wicket.</p> <p>Residents have called for the replacement of this trough for some time. The council has responded by patching the existing space. It needs a complete replacement to avoid becoming dangerous for those that use it.</p> <p>The local team would like to invite teams from across Berkshire to play but this wicket is not suitable for competitive play now.</p> <p>Chris Camfield: "The cost to replace the cricket wicket surface is beyond our normal maintenance budget and a CIL bid has been submitted to replace the 3 x artificial wickets across the borough. We are currently waiting for the committee to evaluate the bid and inform us of its decision."</p>		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would meet most of the set criteria.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Within 2024/25
Risks	None identified
Resources	No significant issues
External dependencies	None identified
Comment	Deliverable project, as it has been scoped and costed.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£8,000
Capital Funding – Additional funds required	None
Revenue considerations	None
Value for Money	Represents value for money
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Play areas and public open spaces	Ranked 1st priority in Reading Ranked 1st priority in Battle (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
--------------------	---------

Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced, but under Healthy Environment and Inclusive Economy the improvement of parks and open spaces is referenced.
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers "Open space improvements/small scale leisure".
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "open spaces strategy" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Playing Pitches Strategy

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Low level of development in ward - 3.0% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	High - sports facilities represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • A deliverable project in 2024/25 • £8,000 sought • Accords well with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • Type of infrastructure supports growth, but low level of growth in area
--

[Return to summary table](#)

18

Project Title	Dropped kerbs on Curzon, Catherine and Audley Streets north to south		
Nominee	Councillor Griffith (on behalf of all Battle ward councillors)	Ward	Battle
Project Officer	Sam Shean	Department	Highways
Project Description (as nominated)	We request dropped kerbs so that wheelchair and mobility scooter users can cross Curzon, Catherine and Audley north to south safely.		
Project conclusion	Dropped kerbs are being funded from 80% CIL as part of an ongoing project. These nominations were put forward to this process and are now planned to be completed and do not need to be considered further.		

Conclusion

- No need to consider further as these will form part of the dropped kerb programme and will be completed in due course

[Return to summary table](#)

19			
Project Title	20mph zone for the Amersham Road estate area		
Nominee	Councillor Yeo (on behalf of all Caversham ward councillors)	Ward	Caversham
Project Officer	James Penman	Department	Network Management
Project Description (as nominated)	The project would include the design and the implementation of a 20mph zone covering the Amersham Road estate area, as described in the corresponding item in the "Requests for Traffic Management Measures" added in November 2022. The Amersham Road estate is a landlocked area accessible from Star Road, so the scheme would include all adopted Highway beyond the entry point at Star Road.		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria, but is not well related to development location. Due to existing work programme unlikely to commence prior to 2025/26.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Due to existing pipeline of work, development unlikely to commence before FY 25/26. Thereafter, a development and delivery 'order' will need to be agreed in the context of other schemes agreed for this round of CIL funding.
Risks	20mph requires statutory consultation. Vertical traffic calming features require statutory consultation - these can be locally controversial.
Resources	Engineering (internal), legal, external survey (speed) contractor, external road safety auditor, Highways delivery, external sign manufacture contractor, external road marking contractor.
External dependencies	Material and equipment supply, costs.
Comment	Likely to require road closure and parking suspensions to deliver, depending on exact features/road widths, necessitating temporary TTRO.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£40,000 estimate
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	Lining maintenance, raised maintenance costs for vertical traffic calming features.
Value for Money	Good - a speed reduction scheme over a large area, with relatively little investment and change required.
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4th priority in Reading Ranked 7th priority in Caversham (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers "Local highway improvement projects".
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "network management, junction improvements and road safety" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Low level of development in ward - 1.0% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	Medium - Improvements to road safety represent vital infrastructure to support residential development but Amersham Road is a cul-de-sca without any significant recent or planned development.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	£40K should comfortably cover the additional works, on the basis that the scheme would not include 'upgrading' existing features, nor installing costly features such as speed tables/raised junctions, as per Traffic Management Sub-Committee reporting.
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Meets CIL Regulations• A deliverable project but would not commence until 2025/26 at the earliest• £40,000 sought• Accords with priorities identified through consultation• Accords with strategic priorities• Low level of growth in area

[Return to summary table](#)

20			
Project Title	Tree planting in public open spaces (Amersham Road and Westfield Recreation Ground)		
Nominee	Councillor Yeo (on behalf of all Caversham ward councillors)	Ward	Caversham
Project Officer	Chris Camfield, Graeme Rasdall-Lawes	Department	Streetscene
Project Description (as nominated)	<p>Six trees planted on the pathways either side of the children's play park on Amersham Road. Five Trees to be planted on the southside of the diagonal path which crosses Westfield road Park.</p> <p>Both these sites will provide valuable shade, firstly near the children's playground on Amersham Road. Secondly along the diagonal pathway in Westfield Park which has two benches located along the path but no shade. This is also a pedestrian route from north east Caversham into Reading. Trees at both sites will improve the visual outlook for residents.</p>		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	2024/25 or 2025/26
Risks	None identified
Resources	No significant implications
External dependencies	None identified
Comment	A potentially deliverable project

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£50,000 estimated
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	Ongoing maintenance
Value for Money	Represents value for money
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Natural environment	Ranked 3rd priority in Reading Ranked 5th priority in Caversham (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced, but under Healthy Environment the tree planting commitments are outlined.

Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers "Open space improvements/small scale leisure".
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "open spaces strategy" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Tree Strategy

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Low level of development in ward - 1.0% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	High - tree planting represents vital infrastructure to support residential development in adapting to climate change.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
---	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • A deliverable project • £50,000 sought • Accords well with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • Type of infrastructure supports growth, but low level of growth in area
--

[Return to summary table](#)

21			
Project Title	Traffic and crossing measures in Caversham Heights		
Nominee	Councillor Kitchingham (on behalf of all Caversham Heights ward councillors)	Ward	Caversham Heights
Project Officer	James Penman	Department	Network Management
Project Description (as nominated)	<p>Lower-cost measures to slow down traffic and to make it easier to cross the road at popular crossing places, i.e. near the Grove Hill bus stop at the south-western end of road and near the junction with the north side of Surley Row. These measures are expected to be added as an amendment to existing requests for a zebra crossing at the TMSC 13/11/23 but still need initial feasibility study.</p> <p>There are already approved proposals to add zebra crossings near the Oakley Rd/Hemdean Rd/Grove Rd junction and in Rotherfield Way southwest of its junction with Surley Row. These have been 'on the list' for several years. With no funding available for these projects we would like lower-cost measures to be considered (extra refuges for crossing the road/ signage to deter speeding/consideration of 20mph etc.)</p>		
Project conclusion	A project that would meet most of the set criteria but with concerns about deliverability. Due to existing work programme unlikely to commence prior to 2025/26.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Due to existing pipeline of work, development unlikely to commence before FY 25/26. Thereafter, a development and delivery 'order' will need to be agreed in the context of other schemes agreed for this round of CIL funding.
Risks	<p>The raised table will require statutory consultation. May be objectionable to nearby residents, as well as users of the street including buses and cyclists. For the latter, we would aim to mitigate the risks through careful profiling (creating less of a 'jolt') and making the installation suitably long that a bus wouldn't straddle it and risk damage.</p> <p>Risks around drainage - potentially costly to provide suitable solution for surface water drainage, as the table would span the carriageway width.</p> <p>Risks to cost around the gradient leading to the crossing (i.e. achievement of compliant gradient and engineering solution/materials needed) due to the raised bank/footway in some locations, particularly south side near to Surley Row. This raises additional risks if there is buried utility equipment conflicting with the gradient profile - diversionary works costs could be substantial.</p> <p>Identifying a suitable location, sufficiently far from junctions, bus stops and driveway accesses.</p>
Resources	Engineering (internal), legal, external survey (speed) contractor, external road safety auditor, Highways delivery, external sign manufacture contractor, external road marking contractor, street lighting contractor (sign illumination).
External dependencies	<p>Material and equipment supply, costs.</p> <p>Electrical installation of sign illumination and connection to grid.</p>
Comment	<p>As per main comments.</p> <p>Will require road closure and parking suspensions to deliver, necessitating temporary TTRO.</p>

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£40,000 (£20-25k + £7-8k per illuminated sign (minimum of 2 likely))
Capital Funding – Additional funds required	None
Revenue considerations	Lining maintenance, raised maintenance costs for vertical traffic calming features. Ongoing electrical supply costs.
Value for Money	Good - it should be an effective speed calming measure at its point of installation, which can also be aligned with a pedestrian crossing desire line, reducing risks for them.
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4th priority in Reading Ranked 6th priority in Thames (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “Local highway improvement projects”.
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “network management, junction improvements and road safety” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Minimal level of development in ward - 0.2% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	Reading Golf Club
Degree to which this supports residential development	High - Improvements to road safety represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	<p>It is challenging to recommend any effective low-cost measures. Signing will very unlikely make a difference to vehicle speeds and 20mph can only be implemented with a system of physical traffic calming measures (humps, cushions etc. every ~50m). Humps/tables will be the most effective speed reduction measures, but will be costly (and fall outside of the requested 'low-cost' measures and objectionable to cyclists, buses and likely to residents. It is not considered that there will be any feasible locations for refuge islands until the eastern section (some way beyond Surely Row), if at all, as they will conflict with driveway access movements and likely not retain required carriageway widths when installed to correct current standards (which they must be). It is for this reason that the zebra crossing (flat with the road) was floated some time ago, and not without some local objection.</p> <p>Visibility requirements would mean that we could not install compliant controlled crossing (nor could we safely install uncontrolled crossing) between the Oakley Road roundabout and Grove Hill without moving the bus stop, which would defeat the objective.</p> <p>The above is therefore an indication of costings/feasibility to install a large flat-topped speed hump/table that, with the right profile, may be less objectionable and serve as uncontrolled crossing and speed reducing measure. It is based on a location near Surley Row, at the original zebra crossing design location, but gives an indication of the 'per installation' cost and deliverability risks.</p>
--	---

	<p>The installation of such features on a 30mph road (noting the above, regarding compliance requirements for a 20mph zone) requires the installation of illuminate speed hump warning signs on all approaches. This will add significantly to the costs.</p> <p>A 20mph zone with a system of features like this would be the recommendation, but it will be costly. In a 20mph zone the road hump warning signs would not be required. A single feature and signing will be an 'unusual' application.</p>
--	---

Conclusion

- Meets CIL Regulations
- Concerns around deliverability
- £40,000 sought
- Accords with priorities identified through consultation
- Accords with strategic priorities
- Links well to specific large development in the local area

[Return to summary table](#)

22			
Project Title	Maintenance/refurbishment of Albert Rd Park tennis courts		
Nominee	Councillor Kitchingham (on behalf of all Caversham Heights ward councillors)	Ward	Caversham Heights
Project Officer	Graeme Rasdall-Lawes	Department	Streetscene
Project Description (as nominated)	<p>These free-to-use tennis courts are a popular local facility. This summer there were delays in keeping the nets of all four courts in a good state of repair. Some residents have also suggested that they need further refurbishment, such as resurfacing.</p> <p>Extra money to guarantee the courts are available throughout the tennis season would be popular with residents.</p>		
Project conclusion	A project that would meet most of the set criteria, but is not well related to development location		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	2024/25 or 2025/26
Risks	None identified
Resources	No significant implications
External dependencies	None identified
Comment	A potentially deliverable project

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£100,000 (estimated)
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	None identified, existing maintenance requirement
Value for Money	Represents value for money
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Play areas and public open spaces	Ranked 1st priority in Reading Ranked 1st priority in Thames (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “Open space improvements/small scale leisure”.
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “open spaces strategy” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Playing Pitches Strategy

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Minimal level of development in ward - 0.2% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	High - sports facilities represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Meets CIL Regulations• A deliverable project• £100,000 sought• Accords well with priorities identified through consultation• Accords with strategic priorities• Low level of growth in the area
--

[Return to summary table](#)

23			
Project Title	Adult outdoor gym equipment, extension of path, flower planting at southern part of Shinfield Recreation Ground		
Nominee	Councillor Hornsby-Smith (on behalf of all Church ward councillors)	Ward	Church
Project Officer	Bridget Hickey	Department	Parks
Project Description (as nominated)	Provision of adult outdoor gym equipment, extension of perimeter hard-standing path with measure markings for jogging distances, flower planting at southern part of park. Not included in bid: repair of slide, provision of bin by central bench. These suggestions emerged through public consultation and discussions with a number of residents.		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would exceed £100,000 and is not well related to development location.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Within 2024/25 financial year
Risks	None identified
Resources	No significant implications
External dependencies	None identified
Comment	A deliverable project

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£123,000 (paths £65,000, outdoor gym £55,000, planting £3,000)
Capital Funding – Additional funds required	None
Revenue considerations	Ongoing maintenance
Value for Money	Represents value for money
Comment	Costs updated since nomination

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Play areas and public open spaces	Ranked 1st priority in Reading Ranked 3rd priority in Church (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced, but under Healthy Environment and Inclusive Economy the improvement of parks and open spaces is referenced.
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “Open space improvements/small scale leisure”.

Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “open spaces strategy” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Health and Wellbeing Strategy 2021-30

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Minimal level of development in ward - 0.1% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	High - Improved facilities in play areas and open spaces represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • A deliverable project within 2024/25 • £123,000 sought • Accords well with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • Low level of growth in the area
--

[Return to summary table](#)

24			
Project Title	Provision of graffiti resistant information boards within The Cowsey		
Nominee	Councillor Hornsby-Smith (on behalf of all Church ward councillors)	Ward	Church
Project Officer	Bridget Hickey	Department	Parks
Project Description (as nominated)	<p>Provision of graffiti resistant information boards x 5 within the Cowsey Park to depict the history of the area (x2) close to 2 entrance points with site maps, 1 board close to water feature, 1 close to woodland edge showing bird life, 1 within woods showing tree and plant life. Laminated copies of these could be supplied to local schools.</p> <p>These suggestions emerged through a walk through with officers aimed at providing a more positive feel to the park. The walkround between officers and councillors found that the entrances to the Cowsey were dominated by 'Do not do xx' signs. These are suggested as a more welcoming balance.</p>		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would meet most of the set criteria, but is not well related to development location		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Within 2024/25 financial year
Risks	None identified
Resources	No significant implications
External dependencies	None identified
Comment	A deliverable project

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£50,000
Capital Funding – Additional funds required	None
Revenue considerations	None identified
Value for Money	Represents value for money
Comment	To create graphics etc for display panels, display panels -7 x A1 twin post lecturn metal framed "locking" panel system (Same as Abbey Quarter but not double sided), installation and school package = £42,300 - Information and examples available if required. This can be combined with Coley signage.

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Play areas and public open spaces	Ranked 1st priority in Reading Ranked 3rd priority in Church (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
--------------------	---------

Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced, but under Healthy Environment and Inclusive Economy the improvement of parks and open spaces is referenced.
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers "Open space improvements/small scale leisure".
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "John Rabson Recreation Ground and The Cowsey" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Minimal level of development in ward - 0.1% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	High - Improvements to open spaces can help to improve the useability for residents of new developments.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
---	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • A deliverable project within 2024/25 • £50,000 sought • Accords well with priorities identified through consultation • Accords well with strategic priorities • Low level of growth in the area
--

[Return to summary table](#)

25			
Project Title	Installation of information board(s) and signage and improving pathway at Coley Water Meadows		
Nominee	Councillor Terry (on behalf of all Coley ward councillors)	Ward	Coley and Southcote
Project Officer	Bridget Hickey	Department	Parks
Project Description (as nominated)	Installation of Information Board(s) and Signage. Improving pathway.		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would meet most of the set criteria.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Within 2024/25 financial year
Risks	None identified
Resources	No significant implications
External dependencies	None identified
Comment	A deliverable project

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£50,000
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	None identified
Value for Money	Represents value for money
Comment	To create graphics etc for display panels, display panels -7 x A1 twin post lecturn metal framed "locking" panel system (Same as Abbey Quarter but not double sided), installation and school package = £42,300 - Information and examples available if required. This can be combined with Cowsey signage.

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Play areas and public open spaces	Ranked 1st priority in Reading Ranked 1st priority in Minster (old boundaries). Ranked 1st priority in Southcote (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced, but under Healthy Environment and Inclusive Economy the improvement of parks and open spaces is referenced.
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers "Open space improvements/small scale leisure".

Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “open spaces strategy” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Low level of development in ward - 2.1% (Coley) and 1.3% (Southcote) of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	High - Improvements to open spaces can help to improve the useability for residents of new developments.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • A deliverable project within 2024/25 • £50,000 sought • Accords well with priorities identified through consultation • Accords well with strategic priorities • Type of infrastructure supports growth, but low level of growth in area
--

[Return to summary table](#)

26			
Project Title	Various improvements to Coley Community Centre		
Nominee	Councillor Terry (on behalf of all Coley ward councillors)	Ward	Coley
Project Officer	Penny Kapere, Glyn Bethel	Department	Communities, Facilities
Project Description (as nominated)	Improved Kitchen More sound dampening in small hall Mounted projectors/screen in at least one room Improved notice boards Improved Bluetooth/Wi-Fi stereo speaker system Although there have been some improvements to the physical building in recent years, further work to improve the centre is needed. This is still subject to further detailed work.		
Project conclusion	Would require additional scoping to define the project		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Would need additional scoping to define the project
Risks	As above
Resources	As above
External dependencies	As above
Comment	Further information required

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	Dependent on scope of project
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	Not known
Revenue considerations	Not known
Value for Money	Not known
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Community centres and hubs	Ranked 6th priority in Reading Ranked 8th priority in Minster (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced

Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers "Community improvements".
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	None
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Low level of development in ward - 2.1% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	Wensley Road
Degree to which this supports residential development	Medium - Improvements to community centres represent important infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • Requires further scoping to determine deliverability • Requires further scoping to determine project cost • Accords with priorities identified through consultation • Limited accordance with strategic priorities • Low level of growth in the area

[Return to summary table](#)

27

Project Title	Replacement of outdated/broken community noticeboards in Katesgrove		
Nominee	Katesgrove Community Association (with support of all Katesgrove councillors)	Ward	Katesgrove
Project Officer	TBC	Department	TBC
Project Description (as nominated)	<p>There are currently 5 noticeboards in Katesgrove of 3 different designs. One is broken, two are graffitied, the perspex has degraded in the sunlight, has been melted by cigarette burns and is scratched.</p> <p>The project is to replace all 5 boards with fit for purpose noticeboards. This will enable KCA, Councillors and RBC to communicate better with residents, particularly those without computer access.</p> <p>KCA and ward councillors would like to see robust noticeboards which are easy to maintain – the three yellow ones are quite difficult/heavy for one person to open and then replace. A design which is easy to clean (remove graffiti) and maintain would be ideal.</p> <p>A consistent design with others across the Borough would be logical and provide economies of scale. It would be nice to include the KCA logo into the design if at all possible.</p> <p>KCA had been working for some time with RBC on replacement boards but the relevant officer retired and it has not been picked up by other officers.</p>		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would meet most of the set criteria but has limited links to the impact of development		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Within 2024/25 financial year
Risks	None identified
Resources	No significant implications
External dependencies	None identified
Comment	A deliverable project

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£15,000
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	Existing maintenance cost
Value for Money	Represents value for money
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
General environmental enhancements	Ranked 5th priority in Reading Ranked 1st priority in Katesgrove (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers "Community improvements".
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	None
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Medium level of development in ward - 6.2% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	Low - benefits new residents but more addresses existing issues

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Meets CIL Regulations• A deliverable project in 2024/25• £15,000 sought• Accords well with priorities identified through consultation• Limited accordance with strategic priorities• Some growth in the area but limited relationship to new growth
--

[Return to summary table](#)

28			
Project Title	Clean and enhance the underpass from Katesgrove Lane to the County Lock and general enhancement of the area		
Nominee	Councillor Challenger	Ward	Katesgrove & Abbey
Project Officer	Sam Shean	Department	Highways
Project Description (as nominated)	<p>To clean up, beautify and enhance the underpass from Katesgrove Lane to the County lock, alongside adding in benches, improved lighting and general enhancement of the area</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The mural either repainted anew (after removal of tiles and resurfacing) or replaced with printed aluminium sheets. ▪ The inside of the subway to be cleaned (ceiling, lights, floor, guttering etc) ▪ The grass area on the Namaste side to be landscaped/planted with trees, shrubs, flowers ▪ The bench by the canal to be replaced with a new one ▪ Additional benches to be provided in suitable locations ▪ Picnic tables to be added in suitable locations ▪ More bins to be provided by the canal and an additional bin on the Namaste side ▪ The small bridge to be repainted • No litter signs to be put up 		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would meet most of the set criteria.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	2024-2025 financial year
Risks	Removal of old tile mural may raise community objections. New mural on other areas is possible.
Resources	No significant implications
External dependencies	Structures consultant and term bridge contractor.
Comment	Deliverable scheme can be developed with anticipated £100k budget

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£100,000
Capital Funding – Additional funds required	None
Revenue considerations	None
Value for Money	Represents value for money
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
General environmental enhancements	Ranked 5th priority in Reading Ranked 1st priority in Katesgrove (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Not specifically identified, delivers "Other measures which help to mitigate the impact the development has on the area"
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "town centre public realm improvements" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Medium level of development in ward - 6.2% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	Medium - improves public realm for new residents.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	Lighting can be upgraded to improve lighting. Noted that there are already tiles painted by a local school some years ago that may need to be removed (TBC). Improvements to benches and walkways are all deliverable in-house.
--	---

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Meets CIL Regulations• A deliverable project within 2024/25• £100,000 sought• Accords well with priorities identified through consultation• Accords with strategic priorities• Meets infrastructure needs from medium level of growth in area
--

[Return to summary table](#)

29			
Project Title	Provide barriers around the estate off Whitley Street that incorporates Elizabeth Walk		
Nominee	Councillor Keane	Ward	Katesgrove
Project Officer	Sam Shean	Department	Highways
Project Description (as nominated)	<p>This is to provide barriers around the estate off Whitley Street that incorporates Elizabeth Walk.</p> <p>Residents have raised, for a number of years, the issue of Quad Bikes and Motorcycles driving along the pavements around the estates causing safety issues for pedestrians as well as some distress. One resident has submitted plans of the area and where barriers could be introduced to stop quad bikes and motorcycles driving through. This resident is aware that this will have limitations as we cannot stop access for Wheelchairs and Mobility Scooters.</p>		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would meet most of the set criteria but has limited links to the impact of development		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	2024-2025 financial year
Risks	Enough space to ensure buggies and wheelchairs can still access the pathways
Resources	No significant implications
External dependencies	None identified
Comment	In-house can be delivered

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£25,000 (for up to 10 sites)
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	None
Value for Money	Represents value for money
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Play areas and public open spaces	Ranked 1st priority in Reading Ranked 5th priority in Katesgrove (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced

Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Not specifically identified, delivers "Other measures which help to mitigate the impact the development has on the area"
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	None
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Medium level of development in ward - 6.2% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	Low - project will mainly provide facilities to existing residents.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	Staggered barriers can be installed as a deterrent for bicycles and motorbikes using cut through alleyways.
--	---

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • A deliverable project within 2024/25 • £25,000 sought • Accords well with priorities identified through consultation • Limited accordance with strategic priorities • Some growth in the area but limited relationship to new growth

[Return to summary table](#)

30			
Project Title	Provide adult gym equipment to be provided in Katesgrove ward, possibly at the Waterloo Meadow playground area		
Nominee	Councillor Keane	Ward	Katesgrove
Project Officer	Bridget Hickey	Department	Parks
Project Description (as nominated)	Adult Gym Equipment to be provided in the Katesgrove Ward, possibly at the Waterloo Meadow playground area. There is a similar scheme at Cintra Park and Long Barn Lane that are popular and well used.		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would meet most of the set criteria but specific locations would need to be identified.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Within 2024/25 financial year
Risks	Risk of identifying suitable location (see below)
Resources	No significant implications
External dependencies	None identified
Comment	Location would need to be discussed as limited suitable area at Waterloo Meadows and other Katesgrove sites not suitable.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£55,000
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	None identified
Value for Money	Represents value for money
Comment	Would be similar to costs at Cintra Park and Long Barn Lane. Quote as per Shinfield outdoor gym scheme = £55,000

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Play areas and public open spaces	Ranked 1st priority in Reading Ranked 5th priority in Katesgrove (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced, but under Healthy Environment and Inclusive Economy the improvement of parks and open spaces is referenced.
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “Open space improvements/small scale leisure”.
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “open spaces strategy” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan

Other	No
-------	----

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Medium level of development in ward - 6.2% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	High - Play facilities and open spaces represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

- Meets CIL Regulations
- A deliverable project within 2024/25 subject to identifying specific locations
- £55,000 sought
- Accords well with priorities identified through consultation
- Accords with strategic priorities
- Relates well to medium level of growth in area

[Return to summary table](#)

31			
Project Title	Large 20 mph zone for Katesgrove ward		
Nominee	Councillor Cresswell and Councillor Keane	Ward	Katesgrove
Project Officer	James Penman	Department	Network Management
Project Description (as nominated)	Already on the traffic measures list is action to calm traffic on Highgrove Street, Francis Street, Alpine Street and Edgehill Street and Long Barn Lane. The council should introduce a large 20 mph zone for Katesgrove ward in all residential roads, or as many as can be funded in a smaller more deliverable area.		
Project conclusion	There is considerable work to be undertaken on working up a potentially deliverable proposal for this nomination that can be costed or assessed.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Not known
Risks	Not known
Resources	Not known
External dependencies	Not known
Comment	There is considerable work to be undertaken on working up a potentially deliverable proposal for this nomination that can be costed or assessed. Unlikely that this work can be undertaken by the time nominations are made due to other commitments.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	Not known
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	Not known
Revenue considerations	Not known
Value for Money	Not known
Comment	Unable to cost at this time. This is a large potential area and costs can vary significantly depending on the type of speed calming features that will be effective and agreeable, the lengths and nature of the roads to potentially be included.

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4th priority in Reading Ranked 7th priority in Katesgrove (old boundaries).

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “Local highway improvement projects”.

Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “network management, junction improvements and road safety” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Medium level of development in ward - 6.2% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	High - Improvements to road safety represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • Significant work required to further scope the project to assess deliverability • Significant work required to further scope the project to assess cost • Accords with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • Medium level of growth in area

[Return to summary table](#)

32

Project Title	Purchase and instal two concrete outdoor public chess tables in Palmer Park with associated chair		
Nominee	Councillor Williams	Ward	Park
Project Officer	Bridget Hickey	Department	Parks
Project Description (as nominated)	<p>Purchase and installation in Palmer Park of two quality concrete outdoor chess tables and associated chairs for both. Exact location of tables to be determined by Streetscene / Neighbourhood Services in consultation with Ward Councillors. Suggested suitable locations include beside the existing table outdoor tennis tables and/or to the rear of Tutu's Ethiopian café building.</p> <p>The Council has been successful in its levelling-up grant application for an outdoor chess table in Prospect Park, and tables in Palmer Park would serve East Reading. Concrete sport Ltd is the company that is currently being looked at for supply and installation. https://concretesports.co.uk/concrete-chess-tables/. The tables are weatherproof steel enforced concrete. As of October 2023, chess is played locally in East Reading, for eg. in the Hub café in Newtown, and at an after-school chess club at Alfred Sutton Primary School, showing the desire in Reading for the game. More formal chess clubs and coaching also exist in Reading.</p> <p>The following is an endorsement for this bid from Reading chess coach, Dino Sujoldzic:</p> <p>"I have been an advocate of chess since my young age. The game of chess is more than just yet another game. It is The King of games and the game of Kings! Indeed, in the past, the game of chess was played only by high dignitaries.</p> <p>The game of chess is not only for enjoyment but also offers an opportunity for attaining a number of skills used in all spheres of our lives. It enriches our lives in many ways and connects people socially.</p> <p>Having played chess all my life and having seen the benefits that many chess players have acquired, I wholeheartedly endorse the idea of providing public chess tables for the enjoyment of the whole community!"</p> <p>Chesscoach Dino Sujoldzic - Candidate Master (CM) www.chesswithdino.co.uk</p> <p>After submitting the form I've heard the great news that the Council has been successful in its bid for money for two outdoor chess tables – and intends to install one in Prospect Park and one in Palmer Park. I've spoken to the chess-table providers and they tell me that most parks across the country are installing two or three tables, to make this a social game with multiple people playing and bringing a community together. I would like therefore to please leave my CIL request in, and hope that additional tables can be added to Palmer Park,</p>		
Project conclusion	<p>Two chess tables now funded from other sources. Nominator has requested that nomination remains in place to secure additional provision.</p> <p>A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would meet most of the set criteria, but is not well related to development location</p>		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Within financial year 2024/25
Risks	None identified
Resources	No significant implications
External dependencies	None identified

Comment	A deliverable project
---------	-----------------------

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£10,000
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	Ongoing maintenance
Value for Money	Questionable value for money given that chess tables now already funded here
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Play areas and public open spaces	Ranked 1st priority in Reading Ranked 5th priority in Park (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced, but under Healthy Environment and Inclusive Economy the improvement of parks and open spaces is referenced.
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “Open space improvements/small scale leisure”.
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “open spaces strategy” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Minimal level of development in ward - 0.9% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	Low - whilst open spaces represent vital infrastructure to support residential development, this is a form of infrastructure for which some provision is already made.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • A deliverable project within 2024/25 • £10,000 sought • Accords well with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • Low level of growth in area

[Return to summary table](#)

33			
Project Title	Bike hanger for Newtown to provide secure on street bike parking		
Nominee	Councillor White (on behalf of all Park ward councillors)	Ward	Park
Project Officer	Chris Maddocks	Department	Transport
Project Description (as nominated)	Newtown has areas with very small or no front garden. This means bikes have to be taken through the house and is a barrier to bike ownership. A bike hanger is to be installed in Newtown as a free trial to test if this can increase bike ownership and use.		
Project conclusion	Would require agreement with residents on location. Further work required to identify costs. Not well related to development location		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Requires further investigation
Risks	Not known
Resources	Not known
External dependencies	Not known
Comment	A bike hanger could be provided in this area, subject to agreement with residents on a suitable location. A budget for the ongoing costs for its operation would need to be identified alongside the initial costs for installation.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	Not known
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	Not known
Revenue considerations	Not known
Value for Money	Not known
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4th priority in Reading Ranked 4th priority in Park (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced, but under Healthy Environment and Inclusive Economy the promotion of active travel is referenced.
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Not specifically identified, delivers "Other measures which help to mitigate the impact the development has on the area"
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "cycling strategy" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Transport Strategy

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Minimal level of development in ward - 0.9% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	High - Active travel facilities represent vital infrastructure to support residential

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

- Meets CIL Regulations
- A potentially deliverable project
- Approximately £8,000 sought
- Accords with priorities identified through consultation
- Accords with strategic priorities
- Low level of growth in area

[Return to summary table](#)

34			
Project Title	Convert more lampposts to electric car chargers (Park, Redlands and Katesgrove)		
Nominee	Councillor White (on behalf of Green Councillors in Park, Redlands and Katesgrove)	Ward	Park
Project Officer	Various	Department	Various
Project Description (as nominated)	As has already been done in Newtown and elsewhere in town convert more lampposts into chargers for electric cars.		
Project conclusion	EV chargers will be covered by the EV Charging Installation programme - tender to go out this year. No need for further consideration here		

Conclusion

Will be considered as part of the EV Charging Installation programme
--

[Return to summary table](#)

35			
Project Title	Install 20 mph roundels on every road in the existing 20 mph zone in Park ward		
Nominee	Councillor White (on behalf of all Park ward councillors)	Ward	Park
Project Officer	James Penman	Department	Network Management
Project Description (as nominated)	<p>The east of Newtown is a 20 mph zone. However as a zone it is only signed at the entrances. This means that people might forget what the speed limit is further in the zone. Every road in the zone should have a 20 miles an hour roundel at one end (many of the roads in Newtown are one way:</p> <p>Cumberland Road, Amity Road, Amity Street, Cholmeley Road, Radstock Road, Filey Road, Norton Road, Coventry Road, Freshwater Road, Liverpool Road, Manchester Road</p> <p>Liverpool Road runs down the back of the zone and so many journeys will go down this road. Can we get 3x20 mph roundels along Liverpool Road.</p>		
Project conclusion	Deliverable, but officer view is that additional roundels are unlikely to be effective in this area. Due to existing work programme unlikely to commence prior to 2025/26. Not well related to development location.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Due to existing pipeline of work, development unlikely to commence before FY 25/26. Thereafter, a development and delivery 'order' will need to be agreed in the context of other schemes agreed for this round of CIL funding.
Risks	Very likely to require temporary parking suspensions and road closures to ensure access for the road marking.
Resources	Engineering (internal), legal, external lining contractor
External dependencies	Materials and contractor availability.
Comment	Will require road closures and parking suspensions to deliver, necessitating temporary TTRO.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£5,000 minimum estimate, including Temporary Traffic Regulation Order.
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	Increased lining maintenance costs.
Value for Money	Officers consider this to be low, due to the limited expected benefits/impact that the measures would provide.
Comment	High-level estimate

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4th priority in Reading Ranked 4th priority in Park (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers "Local highway improvement projects".
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "network management, junction improvements and road safety" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Minimal level of development in ward - 0.9% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	High - Improvements to road safety represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	This request was agreed by Traffic Management Sub-Committee for removal from the 'Requests for Traffic Management Measures' list in March 2020. While these roundels can be a helpful reminder of the speed limit, Newtown is landlocked and it is the view of officers that anyone entering the area will be clear that it is a 20mph zone and the addition of more markings are not likely to have an impact on vehicle speeds vs their cost and ongoing maintenance cost.
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Meets CIL Regulations• A deliverable project but would not commence until 2025/26 at the earliest• Minimum £5,000 sought• Accords with priorities identified through consultation• Accords with strategic priorities• Low level of growth in area
--

[Return to summary table](#)

36			
Project Title	Install solar panels on most suitable community centres in Park ward		
Nominee	Councillor White (on behalf of all Park ward councillors)	Ward	Park
Project Officer	Various	Department	Various
Project Description (as nominated)	Review community buildings in Park Ward (Council, Brighter Futures, religious, community centres) to determine which ones are suitable for solar panels – I think this may have already been done by the climate change team. Install solar panels onto the most suitable and willing community building including a visible display of energy generated and money saved		
Project conclusion	Needs additional work to identify locations.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Requires further scoping work to identify locations and timescales
Risks	See above
Resources	See above
External dependencies	See above
Comment	Further scoping work required

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	Dependent on further work to identify locations
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	Not known
Revenue considerations	Not known
Value for Money	Not known
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Climate change and renewable energy	Ranked 2nd priority in Reading Ranked 6th priority in Park (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced, but the Healthy Environment theme includes the net zero carbon commitment.
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “Renewable energy infrastructure”.
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	None
Other	Climate Emergency Strategy

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Minimal level of development in ward - 0.9% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	Low - This type of infrastructure is unlikely to directly provide energy to residential development.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Meets CIL Regulations• Deliverability requires further scoping work• Cost requires further scoping work• Accords well with priorities identified through consultation• Accords well with strategic priorities• Low level of growth in area

[Return to summary table](#)

37			
Project Title	Install traffic calming measures to slow traffic on St Bartholomew's Road		
Nominee	Councillor White (on behalf of all Park ward councillors)	Ward	Park
Project Officer	James Penman	Department	Network Management
Project Description (as nominated)	Install traffic calming measures to slow traffic on St Bartholomew's Road. Petition previously submitted to the traffic committee		
Project conclusion	Vertical features most likely to be effective and are potentially deliverable. Due to existing work programme unlikely to commence prior to 2025/26. Not well related to development location.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Due to existing pipeline of work, development unlikely to commence before FY 25/26. Thereafter, a development and delivery 'order' will need to be agreed in the context of other schemes agreed for this round of CIL funding.
Risks	Humps will require statutory consultation - potential for objections. Will need to consider locations in the context of on-street parking. Carriageway profile appears barrelled, which may pose implementation challenges
Resources	Engineering (internal), legal, external survey (speed) contractor, external road safety auditor, Highways delivery, external road marking contractor.
External dependencies	Materials and contractor availability.
Comment	Will require road closure and parking suspensions to deliver, necessitating temporary TTRO.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£45,000
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	Lining maintenance, raised maintenance costs for vertical traffic calming features.
Value for Money	Good - humps will be effective and, being an existing 20mph zone, no additional signing will be required.
Comment	High-level estimate

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4th priority in Reading Ranked 4th priority in Park (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced

Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “Local highway improvement projects”.
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “network management, junction improvements and road safety” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Minimal level of development in ward - 0.9% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	High - Improvements to road safety represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	Vertical features (i.e. humps) will be most effective, but could be locally divisive. They may also deter rat-running, which would provide some benefit to residents. The following is on the basis of humps, which received a high-level estimate and summary to TMSC in March 2023 as part of the 'Requests for Traffic Management Measures'.
--	---

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • A deliverable project but would not commence until 2025/26 at the earliest • £45,000 sought • Accords with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • Low level of growth in area
--

[Return to summary table](#)

38			
Project Title	Relandscaping and environmentally-friendly planting of flower bed at Junction of Granby Court and De Beauvoir Road		
Nominee	Councillor Cross	Ward	Redlands
Project Officer	Sam Shean	Department	Highways
Project Description (as nominated)	<p>There is a width-restriction at the north end of De Beauvoir Road with a raised flower bed on it. It originally had a variety of plants in it, but it has now been almost completely overrun with ivy.</p> <p>I am requesting the re-landscaping of this bed, with bee-friendly pollinators and some insect houses, to improve biodiversity. Or alternatively a xeriscaping approach that reduces the need for water and increases resilience to drought and climate change.</p>		
Project conclusion	Available space and utility services may constrain delivery. Not well related to impacts of development.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Not identified
Risks	Lack of space and utility services
Resources	Parks & Highways
External dependencies	None identified
Comment	Utility services could be an issue to install and highway footways are all very narrow. Not likely to be deliverable as not enough space on the highway / footways

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	N/A as not considered to be deliverable
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	N/A
Revenue considerations	N/A
Value for Money	N/A
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Natural environment	Ranked 3rd priority in Reading Ranked 3rd priority in Redlands (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Not specifically identified, delivers "Other measures which help to mitigate the impact the development has on the area"

Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "biodiversity plan" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Biodiversity Action Plan

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Low level of development in ward - 2.2% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	Low - improves public realm for new residents but specific location is not amongst the most used public realm.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • Concerns around whether project could be delivered • £10,000 sought • Accords well with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • Low level of growth in area

[Return to summary table](#)

39			
Project Title	Signalled pedestrian crossing at junction of Craven Road and London Road		
Nominee	Councillor Cross	Ward	Redlands
Project Officer	James Penman	Department	Network Management
Project Description (as nominated)	<p>The addition of a pedestrian phase to the existing signalised junction - this approach is currently uncontrolled for pedestrians. This has been raised by parents in the context of walking to/from school.</p> <p>This junction is controlled for vehicles exiting London Road/Craven Road, but unsignalled for pedestrians, which is dangerous. I am requesting a toucan/puffin crossing here to make it safer for people to cross.</p> <p>The TMS report notes that the pedestrian crossing “will provide another opportunity for a junction 'all-red' to be triggered, which will impact on vehicular traffic flow during busier times.” However I presume that the phasing of the lights currently allows sufficient time for pedestrians to cross even if this is not indicated with signalling, so it should be explored whether improvement can be achieved via “dummy” buttons which would indicate when it is safe for pedestrians to cross while not running the risk of disrupting the vehicular movements on the 4-way junction.</p>		
Project conclusion	Feasibility concerns with delivery of a crossing in this location. Would exceed £100,000. Due to existing work programme unlikely to commence prior to 2025/26.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Due to existing pipeline of work, development unlikely to commence before FY 25/26. Thereafter, a development and delivery 'order' will need to be agreed in the context of other schemes agreed for this round of CIL funding.
Risks	<p>Traffic delays - adding this phases is estimated to add ~20 sec to the junction cycle time when activated.</p> <p>Unforeseen engineering difficulties - already aware that the old ducting is unusable, so will require re-laying ducting for the junction upgrade. Old controller will require upgrade also.</p>
Resources	Engineering & design (internal and external), external road safety auditor, Highways and external delivery contractors, temporary traffic management (signals) supplier, external road marking contractor.
External dependencies	Materials and contractor availability (design and build stages).
Comment	To note that there is a separate request on the TMS reported 'Requests for Traffic Management Measures' list for zebra crossing facilities on Craven Road. This would be a lower-cost, lower-risk option that would have less traffic impact, but would need to be located further away from the junction (and the desire line) to ensure intervisibility requirements were met. However, it may also benefit those travelling up from Erlegh Road, as a compromise solution for both routes.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£150,000

Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	None expected.
Value for Money	Represents good value for money
Comment	High-level estimate

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4th priority in Reading Ranked 2nd priority in Redlands (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced, but under Healthy Environment and Inclusive Economy the promotion of active travel is referenced.
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “Local highway improvement projects”.
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “network management, junction improvements and road safety” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Low level of development in ward - 2.2% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	High - Improvements to road safety represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	<p>The crossing currently operates as an uncontrolled two-part road crossing. There is a potential pedestrian/vehicle movement 'conflict' with every traffic stage, if Craven Road is considered as a compliant 'straight-across' controlled crossing, so the options are thus:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The suggested option of having pedestrian crossing indicators that work within the current signal phases; or 2) Implementing a controlled full crossing stage. <p>Unfortunately, option 1 is considered very unlikely to be feasible. It must include an upgrade to the central island, making it significantly larger and with a staggered crossing setup. This will require the removal of a traffic lane on Craven Road and there are concerns that this would not only cause delays for emergency service vehicles, but will likely create vehicle tracking (turning) issues for buses and larger vehicles, given the junction alignment.</p> <p>Option 2 is expanded as per the following columns. This will require considerable technical refreshing of this older signal equipment and a long period of disruptive (and costly) temporary traffic management to lay new ducting across London Road and Craven Road.</p>
--	---

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • Deliverability concerns with a crossing in this location • £150,000 sought • Accords well with priorities identified through consultation
--

- Accords with strategic priorities
- Low level of growth in area but type of infrastructure well linked to growth

[Return to summary table](#)

40			
Project Title	Removal of the priority flow feature at the junction of Allcroft Road and Redlands Road		
Nominee	Councillor Cross	Ward	Redlands
Project Officer	James Penman	Department	Network Management
Project Description (as nominated)	Removal of the priority flow feature at the junction of Allcroft Road and Redlands Road due to concerns raised by road safety audit. Proposals to be drawn up and implemented for alternative feature (e.g. speed hump) near this location, as resolved by TMSC in June 2023.		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria. Due to existing work programme unlikely to commence prior to 2025/26.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Due to existing pipeline of work, development unlikely to commence before FY 25/26. Thereafter, a development and delivery 'order' will need to be agreed in the context of other schemes agreed for this round of CIL funding.
Risks	Cushions will require statutory consultation - potential for objections.
Resources	Engineering (internal), legal, external road safety auditor, Highways delivery, external road marking contractor, external electrical and street lighting contractor.
External dependencies	Materials and contractor availability.
Comment	Will require road closure and parking suspensions to deliver, necessitating temporary TTRO.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£25,000 (overall cost)
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	Lining maintenance, raised maintenance costs for vertical traffic calming features. Removal of ongoing electrical costs for illuminated signs. Reduced income through reduction of P&D parking bay.
Value for Money	Good - overcomes a locally contentious issue that has also been highlighted on a road safety audit and replaces with a relatively cost efficient feature.
Comment	High-level estimate

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4th priority in Reading Ranked 2nd priority in Redlands (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced

Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers "Local highway improvement projects".
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "network management, junction improvements and road safety" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Low level of development in ward - 2.2% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	High - Improvements to road safety represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	<p>Related to later, similar nominations. Potential linked enhancement referred in later entry.</p> <p>As stated, previous high-level estimate of £15k for removal, but contingency recommended for inflation since original estimate. The biggest challenge will be reaching agreement on the replacement feature, which will be necessary in order not to leave a stretch of untreated carriageway. As previously reported to TMSC, there has been little agreement for any vertical (effective) feature from the groups met, but the following is based on removing a section of the parking bay (between the access and toward the junction with Allcroft Road) and installing a set of speed cushions. This solution removes surface water drainage concerns on this slope, removes concerns about impact to safety for public transport and emergency service vehicles and removing this section of parking bay removes concerns about navigating the features, raised by cyclists.</p>
--	---

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • Deliverable but would not commence prior to 2025/26 • £25,000 sought • Accords well with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • Low level of growth in area but type of infrastructure well linked to growth

[Return to summary table](#)

41			
Project Title	Amend the width restriction build-outs on Redlands Road to include cycle-through bypasses		
Nominee	Councillor Cross	Ward	Redlands
Project Officer	James Penman	Department	Network Management
Project Description (as nominated)	<p>Amending the width restriction build-outs on Redlands Road to include cycle-through bypasses as requested by RCC at the November 2022 TMSC meeting.</p> <p>Some of these width restrictions currently have bypasses, however others, in particular at the northern end of the road, do not. These should not have been installed without bypasses as this is not in compliance with DfT guidelines, so I am requesting amendments to these features.</p> <p>Some of the speed-calming width restrictions on Redlands Road have cycle-through bypasses, however most do not. At the November 2022 TMSC, RCC made submissions that this forces cyclists out into the road, and can make them feel unsafe by pushing them into the path of cars/buses. The current scheme causes difficulty for cycling due to lack of consistency/predictability as road users expect there to be cycle through features all the way down.</p> <p>Similar build-outs in places like Amersham Road do have cycle-through features. Redlands Road is fairly narrow, however, having measured the build-outs, they would generally permit a cycle-through of 0.8-1m width, which although less than the 1.5 suggested by guidance, is "good enough" and is used elsewhere in the borough.</p> <p>Per DfT guidance, build-outs should include cycle-throughs, and if the road isn't wide enough to allow build-outs with bypasses then build-outs probably shouldn't have been installed here to begin with. This is why I am seeking amendments to the build-outs to allow cycling-through.</p>		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria. Due to existing work programme unlikely to commence prior to 2025/26.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Due to existing pipeline of work, development unlikely to commence before FY 25/26. Thereafter, a development and delivery 'order' will need to be agreed in the context of other schemes agreed for this round of CIL funding.
Risks	<p>Reliant on the Allcroft Road proposal (40) being agreed.</p> <p>Removal of the P&D bay will require statutory consultation and this risks objection and loss of income to the Council.</p> <p>Cushions will require statutory consultation - potential for objections.</p> <p>This is a compromise solution within the available space, so will not fit the ideals of specific stakeholders.</p> <p>Vehicle tracking will need to be proved, when turning right from Allcroft Road, to ensure that there won't be overrun into the cycle lane.</p> <p>Risks of vehicles running into the advisory cycle lane, though speed cushions should partially mitigate this - straying into the lane will lead to full overrunning of the speed cushion.</p>

Resources	Engineering (internal), legal, external road safety auditor, Highways delivery, external road marking contractor.
External dependencies	Agreement to proceed and fund the Allcroft Road junction proposal. Materials and contractor availability.
Comment	Will require road closure and parking suspensions to deliver, necessitating temporary TTRO.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	Additional £12k estimated, above the £25k estimate for the Allcroft Road junction nomination.
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	Lining maintenance, raised maintenance costs for vertical traffic calming features. Further reduced income through removal of P&D parking bay.
Value for Money	Good - it could be a good overall compromise to remove contentious build-outs, maintain traffic calming for a compliant 20mph zone and provide additional benefits for uphill cycling in this section.
Comment	High-level estimate

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4th priority in Reading Ranked 2nd priority in Redlands (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced, but under Healthy Environment and Inclusive Economy the promotion of active travel is referenced.
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “Local highway improvement projects”.
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “network management, junction improvements and road safety” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Cycling Strategy

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Low level of development in ward - 2.2% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	High - Improvements to road safety represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	<p>Related to other, similar nominations. Includes potential enhancement linked to earlier entry for the Allcroft Road feature removal.</p> <p>During previous correspondence, officers clarified that although cycle bypasses are a recommended feature, they are not a requirement. The recommended cycle bypass is 1.5m width to provide safe passage. There may be some older facilities in place, but we cannot recommend sub-standard installation (it would be a safety risk) and there is insufficient road width to achieve the required width at the locations in question. During the site meeting, the desire of the groups seemed to be for the overall removal of the build-outs, so there are conflicting requests.</p> <p>As an addition to the Allcroft Road proposal, we would like to suggest a potential option that addresses the build-outs between Addington Road and Upper Redlands Road (the priority-flow and pinch-point). This is a potential to remove</p>
--	---

	<p>both, insert a cycle lane (advisory is most likely, given the road width) and replace the build-outs with cushions in the traffic lanes to act as speed calming measures (with low impact to emergency service and public transport vehicles) and to help keep vehicles in the general traffic lanes. This would provide a more 'protected' facility to support the uphill cycle journey. There would need to be breaks for the pedestrian refuge island and the southbound bus stop and we would need to consider the vehicle tracking, when turning right out of Allcroft Road, but this could be a neat compromise for this stretch, whereafter it becomes too narrow. This would also necessitate the full removal of the P&D parking on the east side, just south of Allcroft Road.</p> <p>Costings are to add this on top of the Allcroft Road junction nomination.</p>
--	--

Conclusion

- Meets CIL Regulations
- Deliverable but would not commence prior to 2025/26
- £12,000 sought in addition to project 40 above
- Accords well with priorities identified through consultation
- Accords with strategic priorities
- Low level of growth in area but type of infrastructure well linked to growth

[Return to summary table](#)

42			
Project Title	Purchase of mobile CCTV camera to deter fly-tipping at Huntley Court, Erleigh Road		
Nominee	Councillor Cross	Ward	Redlands
Project Officer	TBC	Department	TBC
Project Description (as nominated)	<p>I am proposing the purchase of an additional mobile CCTV camera to detect and deter fly-tipping at bottle banks, specifically the Erleigh Road site.</p> <p>The council currently owns several mobile CCTV cameras which are used to deter and detect fly-tipping at recycling sites. They are currently situated at various bottle banks, but there are not enough cameras to cover the main fly-tipping hotspots.</p> <p>The Erleigh Road bottle bank has been a hotspot for fly-tipping of excess household waste, bric-a-brac and large furniture items, and is very unsightly. The crew has to attend multiple times per week (sometimes with the two-man crew) to clear the fly-tipping, which has a cost implication in the council's revenue budget.</p> <p>Some signage has been put up but this has not deterred the fly-tipping. Some of the perpetrators are on foot, but others are likely to be in vehicles due to the large furniture items that are being dumped.</p> <p>I am proposing the purchase of an additional mobile CCTV camera to deter fly-tipping at this site. This will have an up-front cost and a revenue implication, but this could be a case of spend-to-save if the implementation of CCTV reduces the need for multiple weekly clearances by the crews.</p>		
Project conclusion	A project that would meet most of the set criteria, but is not well related to the impacts of development.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	2024/25 or 2025/26
Risks	None identified
Resources	No significant implications
External dependencies	None identified
Comment	A potentially deliverable project

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	Not specifically identified
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None identified
Revenue considerations	Operation costs of CCTV
Value for Money	Not known
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
General environmental enhancements	Ranked 5th priority in Reading Ranked 8th priority in Redlands (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced, but under Healthy Environment there is a commitment to tackle flytipping in the borough by monitoring sites using cameras.
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Not specifically identified, delivers "Other measures which help to mitigate the impact the development has on the area"
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	None
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Low level of development in ward - 2.2% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	Low - benefits new residents but more addresses existing issues

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Meets CIL Regulations• Deliverable but would not commence prior to 2025/26• Not clear on funding required but assumed to be within £100,000• Accords with priorities identified through consultation• Accords with strategic priorities• Low level of growth in area

[Return to summary table](#)

43			
Project Title	Implementation of speed reduction measures on Eastern Avenue		
Nominee	Councillor Cross	Ward	Redlands
Project Officer	James Penman	Department	Network Management
Project Description (as nominated)	<p>The implementation of speed reduction measures on Eastern Avenue, including investigating the potential use of alternating parking bays.</p> <p>Additional officer comment (where necessary)s from September TMSC re Waiting Restrictions Review Programme:</p> <p>“When developing the area Resident Permit Parking scheme, it was noted that the street was insufficiently wide to allow on-street parking on both sides of Eastern Avenue. An objective of the original scheme was to remove the footway parking and improve accessibility along this section. ... During the scheme design, officers originally suggested consideration of alternating the on-street parking bays to avoid having a straight-through section of unparked carriageway. While the cost of undertaking such changes would need to be considered in the context of the limited programme funding, this would be the officer recommended approach to addressing the issue raised.”</p>		
Project conclusion	Potentially deliverable scheme that meets most of the set criteria. Alternate parking bay proposal being progressed through another programme. Due to existing work programme unlikely to commence prior to 2025/26.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Due to existing pipeline of work, development unlikely to commence before FY 25/26. Thereafter, a development and delivery 'order' will need to be agreed in the context of other schemes agreed for this round of CIL funding.
Risks	Humps will require statutory consultation - potential for objections, but comments provide potential for some mitigation. Carriageway profile appears barrelled, which may pose implementation challenges.
Resources	Engineering (internal), legal, external survey (speed) contractor, external road safety auditor, Highways delivery, external road marking contractor.
External dependencies	Materials and contractor availability.
Comment	Existing 20mph zone, so no additional signing requirements. Will require road closure and parking suspensions to deliver, necessitating temporary TTRO.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£50,000
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	Lining maintenance, raised maintenance costs for vertical traffic calming features.
Value for Money	Good - humps will be effective and, being an existing 20mph zone, no additional signing will be required.
Comment	High-level estimate

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4th priority in Reading Ranked 2nd priority in Redlands (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers "Local highway improvement projects".
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "network management, junction improvements and road safety" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Low level of development in ward - 2.2% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	High - Improvements to road safety represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	<p>The alternating parking bay proposal is being developed through the Waiting Restriction Review programme, with the latest report (Jan 2024) receiving agreement for statutory consultation.</p> <p>The following therefore provides a high-level overview and estimate for introducing speed humps along the road between Wokingham Road and Crescent Road. Propose sinusoidal-style, as more cycle friendly.</p>
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Meets CIL Regulations• Deliverable but would not commence prior to 2025/26• £50,000 sought• Accords well with priorities identified through consultation• Accords with strategic priorities• Low level of growth in area but type of infrastructure well linked to growth
--

[Return to summary table](#)

44			
Project Title	Add a non-turf cricket pitch and a two net training facility at Cintra Park		
Nominee	Councillor McElroy and Councillor McCann	Ward	Redlands
Project Officer	Graeme Rasdall-Lawes	Department	Streetscene
Project Description (as nominated)	<p>Residents and local cricket groups (Elmhurst CC and Jhakass Bandey) have said they need cricket facilities, which are sorely lacking in Reading centre. A previous CIL application (attached to this) shows that this project is worthy and ticks all the boxes. The proposal is to add a non-turf pitch and a two net training facility. Attached information shows it will not impact football provision.</p> <p>Why</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ There are no cricket pitches in the centre of Reading except Scours Lane (used by West Reading) and Palmer Park (single Astro that is generally inaccessible) ▪ The majority of the teams in Reading have to play outside of the town centre. ▪ There are no useable community cricket facilities in the centre of Reading. ▪ There are no grass pitches available for youth, social or community cricket in the centre of Reading. ▪ This is despite Reading having a significant population (173,200) of which 32,000 are less than 15. ▪ The benefits of sport, spending time outside are well documented in terms of better educational outcomes, improved mental health (lower anxiety) and reduced risk of obesity. ▪ Where are we developing grassroots players from the centre of Reading? It has some of the most deprived areas in the country. <p>Why Cintra?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Cricket has been played at Cintra Park for many years and was a well recognised cricket ground. It was only scrubbed in 2004 (check) ▪ There is an existing pavilion that whilst in need of some repairs has only had light use over the last 30 years or so. ▪ Reading has, within a small geographic area, some of the most affluent and the most deprived neighbourhoods in the whole of the Thames Valley. ▪ Reading is the 3rd most unequal 'city' in terms of wealth (Centre for Cities, Gini coefficient 2017) ▪ This is no more acutely shown by the location of Cintra Park. It boundaries one of the most deprived and the most affluent areas in the whole of the UK (See next slide) • Cricket at Cintra has the ability to integrate communities from different backgrounds, religions and cultures. 		
Project conclusion	A project that would meet most of the set criteria		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Within the 2024/25 financial year
Risks	Highly dependent on discussions on the lease with a local club
Resources	No significant implications
External dependencies	None identified

Comment	A potentially deliverable project but with need for further investigation regarding maintenance
---------	---

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£61,000
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	None identified
Value for Money	Represents value for money
Comment	Potential ability to lease to BCF, but this needs further investigation

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Play areas and public open spaces	Ranked 1st priority in Reading Ranked 6th priority in Redlands (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced, but under Healthy Environment and Inclusive Economy the improvement of parks and open spaces is referenced.
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “Open space improvements/small scale leisure”.
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “open spaces strategy” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Playing Pitches Strategy

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Low level of development in ward - 2.2% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	High - Sports facilities represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • A potentially deliverable project subject to ongoing maintenance • £61,000 sought • Accords well with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • Type of infrastructure supports growth, but low level of growth in area

[Return to summary table](#)

45			
Project Title	Reconfigure the layout of the pedestrian access on the east green at Christchurch Green		
Nominee	Councillor McElroy and Councillor McCann	Ward	Redlands
Project Officer	Sam Shean	Department	Highways
Project Description (as nominated)	<p>In August this year Cllr McCann met with members of Friends of Christchurch Green to discuss their concerns about the current state of the green with James Turner, Miriam Fuertes and Sarah Hanson. One of the more serious concerns they raised is the way the new pathway on the west green passes over a small but steep hill. This continues straight down to the road, with the pavement itself continuing the slope. That and the camber of the path over the hill makes it really dangerous for wheelchair users.</p> <p>The new path layout was designed to mirror that of the east green, but didn't take into account the fact that that side is much flatter. James and Miriam agreed that they would look at revising the layout, completely changing the direction of the path going to and from the Shinfield Road crossing on the east green, with a view to getting the work carried out once funds allow. They would also look to put something in place to discourage people from walking where the original pavement has been removed, next to the plane tree – perhaps a raised bed, rather than a barrier.</p> <p>There are other associated improvements the Friends of Christchurch Green would like to see, including reducing the visual impact and hazard caused by the telecoms covers and kerb on the west green and enhancing planting. Sarah Hansen offered to add a fruit tree in the empty grass area bordering Elmhurst Road on the east side, which they were very pleased about. At the moment it doesn't look like a valued green space in a conservation area. We would like to propose using CIL funding to enhance the visual appeal and make it safer and more intuitive to pass through.</p>		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would meet most of the set criteria but has limited links to the impact of development		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Within 2024/25 financial year
Risks	Considered to be low risk
Resources	No significant implications
External dependencies	None identified
Comment	Will need Ward Councillor discussions on specifics but pedestrian access changes are possible within 24/25

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£5,000 (estimated)
Capital Funding – Additional funds required	None
Revenue considerations	None identified

Value for Money	Represents value for money
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
General environmental enhancements	Ranked 5th priority in Reading Ranked 8th priority in Redlands (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers "Open space improvements/small scale leisure".
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "open spaces strategy" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Low level of development in ward - 2.2% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	Medium - improves public realm for new residents.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • A deliverable project • £5,000 sought • Accords with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • Low level of growth in area
--

[Return to summary table](#)

46			
Project Title	Install bike racks at Cintra Park		
Nominee	Councillor McElroy and Councillor McCann	Ward	Redlands
Project Officer	Graeme Rasdall-Lawes	Department	Parks
Project Description (as nominated)	There are no bike racks near the playground and basketball courts in Cintra Park, which make cycling to these facilities a much riskier business, especially for those coming to play sport. Can we please get some bike racks installed?		
Project conclusion	Meets most criteria but deliverability of the project has not been confirmed		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	2024/25 or 2025/26
Risks	None identified
Resources	No significant implications
External dependencies	None identified
Comment	A potentially deliverable project

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	Not known
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	Maintenance
Value for Money	Not known
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Play areas and public open spaces	Ranked 1st priority in Reading Ranked 6th priority in Redlands (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced, but under Healthy Environment and Inclusive Economy the promotion of active travel is referenced.
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers "Open space improvements/small scale leisure".
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "cycling strategy" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Cycling Strategy

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Low level of development in ward - 2.2% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	High - Active travel improvements represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Meets CIL Regulations• Deliverability not known• Not clear on funding required but assumed to be within £100,000• Accords well with priorities identified through consultation• Accords with strategic priorities• Type of infrastructure supports growth, but low level of growth in area

[Return to summary table](#)

47			
Project Title	Remove the build outs on Redlands Road next to Allcroft Road and replacement with alternative measures		
Nominee	Councillor McElroy and Councillor McCann	Ward	Redlands
Project Officer	James Penman	Department	Network Management
Project Description (as nominated)	The council has already agreed to remove the buildouts on Redlands Road next to Allcroft Road, as soon as funding is ready. We propose that CIL funding is used to remove the build outs and replace them with either a speed table (or recycled rubber low enough for the emergency vehicles and designed to cause minimise noise) and/or the installation of one of these: Traffic signals turn red to stop speeding drivers ITS International.		
Project conclusion	See project 40		

[Return to summary table](#)

48			
Project Title	Preventing Kendrick Road bell entrance from London Road being used as a chicane to avoid the traffic lights on London Road		
Nominee	Councillor McElroy and Councillor McCann	Ward	Redlands
Project Officer	James Penman	Department	Network Management
Project Description (as nominated)	Motorists are using the entrance to Kendrick Road to avoid the traffic lights on London Road. I personally have been nearly hit as a cyclist. I attach a video taken by a resident showing the behaviour. Please could we extend the existing island to make the manoeuvre more difficult to do at pace?		
Project conclusion	Further detailed design work needed to confirm deliverability.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Due to existing pipeline of work, development unlikely to commence before FY 25/26. Thereafter, a development and delivery 'order' will need to be agreed in the context of other schemes agreed for this round of CIL funding.
Risks	Compromises vehicle tracking - this would make the scheme unfeasible. Insufficient width achievable to place side-visibility enhancing feature - consider that this would make the scheme unfeasible. Extension may be minimal and still may not prevent the issue, though it may reduce the speeds at which vehicles can undertake the movement (a positive).
Resources	Engineering (internal), potential external road safety auditor, material supplier, Highways delivery, legal, external road marking contractor.
External dependencies	Materials and contractor availability.
Comment	Will likely require partial, or full road closure to deliver the changes.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£6-10,000
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	None expected, providing vehicle tracking is proven (with room to spare) and good sideways visibility features added.
Value for Money	Good, if a safe and feasible solution can be found.
Comment	High-level estimate.

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4th priority in Reading Ranked 2nd priority in Redlands (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers "Local highway improvement projects".
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "network management, junction improvements and road safety" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Low level of development in ward - 2.2% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	High - Improvements to road safety represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	Costings are a very high-level estimate, as the exact design needs some very careful consideration. During discussions, vehicle tracking was raised as a concern, as an extension of the island would constrict the radius of the left turn onto Kendrick Road. We would also like to see something on the island to provide side-visibility, from a safety perspective, which will dictate a minimum island width.
--	---

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Meets CIL Regulations• Not clear at this point that project is deliverable, further detailed work required• £6-10,000 required• Accords well with priorities identified through consultation• Accords with strategic priorities• Type of infrastructure supports growth, but low level of growth in area

[Return to summary table](#)

49			
Project Title	Install a pedestrian crossing to on Elmhurst Road, just to the east of the junction with Marlborough Ave		
Nominee	Councillor McElroy and Councillor McCann	Ward	Redlands
Project Officer	James Penman	Department	Network Management
Project Description (as nominated)	<p>There is a single gap in the hedge that borders Elmhurst Road and the university. Please can we considered install a pedestrian crossing to on Elmhurst Road, just to the east of the junction with Marlborough Ave to service the considerable foot traffic coming off and through the hall of residence towards the gap in the hedge. I am not concerned about loss of parking, as the pay as you go parking in that location is rarely used. It would be great to get the crossing as close to Marlborough Ave as possible.</p> <p>There was a previous proposal for this (Redlands 5) and I have discussed with James Penman to adapt this new proposal to what would be more appropriate. Would welcome any counter proposals that may better achieve the intent to slowing traffic and making is safer to cross to the university.</p>		
Project conclusion	Meets most of the criteria but there are significant question marks around deliverability. Due to existing work programme unlikely to commence prior to 2025/26.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Due to existing pipeline of work, development unlikely to commence before FY 25/26. Thereafter, a development and delivery 'order' will need to be agreed in the context of other schemes agreed for this round of CIL funding.
Risks	<p>Exact positioning dependant on meeting visibility standards, for which speed survey results may be a requirement (and factor).</p> <p>Requires statutory consultation for crossing, which would be located in the vicinity of residential properties.</p> <p>Requires removal of on-street parking, which requires statutory consultation - may be objectionable.</p> <p>Potential risks around drainage around build-outs and meeting visibility standards remains a risk on this bend in the road.</p>
Resources	Engineering (internal), legal, external survey (speed) contractor, external road safety auditor, Highways delivery, external street lighting contractor and supplier, external road marking contractor.
External dependencies	Material and equipment supply, and electrical connections.
Comment	<p>Due to the angle of approach from Marlborough Avenue and the fencing and vegetation that would interfere with the visibility, the crossing would need to be set a reasonable distance to the north-east, aligning near to the entrance gate for the student accommodation - a potentially good desire line.</p> <p>Strongly recommend footway build-outs to aid visibility and to locate the beacons/lighting further from the vegetation.</p> <p>Will necessitate considerable length of parking to be removed to aid visibility on this bend and there remain potential feasibility risks around compliance with visibility standards.</p>

	<p>The high-level, private vegetation is a risk if not maintained to avoid visibility obstruction for the beacon and lighting.</p> <p>Potential risk that such extensive removal of parking could increase vehicle speeds when the crossing is not in use.</p>
--	--

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£90k
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	Lining maintenance, maintenance of any special surfacing that needs to be applied. Ongoing electrical supply costs. Potential revenue loss through reduction of on-street pay and display parking.
Value for Money	Depends on the desire line for road crossing and, ultimately, how much use a facility at this location would receive.
Comment	High-level estimate

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4th priority in Reading Ranked 2nd priority in Redlands (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “Local highway improvement projects”.
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “network management, junction improvements and road safety” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Low level of development in ward - 2.2% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	High - Improvements to road safety represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	<p>High-level costings based on carriageway-level (not raised) crossing.</p> <p>Have previously recommended that a scheme of physical traffic calming would reduce speeds along the road overall for the same cost as this crossing. There is such a request contained within the 'Requests for Traffic Calming Features' report.</p>
--	---

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • Various risks to delivery and alternative approaches may be preferable. Due to existing work programme would not be delivered until 2025/26 at the earliest. • £90,000 required • Accords well with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities
--

- Type of infrastructure supports growth, but low level of growth in area

[Return to summary table](#)

50			
Project Title	Refurbishment of bench at the junction of Southcote Rd and Tilehurst Rd		
Nominee	Councillor Brock (on behalf of all Southcote ward councillors)	Ward	Southcote
Project Officer	Sam Shean, Chris Camfield	Department	Highways, Streetscene
Project Description (as nominated)	There's a bench on the junction of Southcote Rd and Tilehurst Rd which, also pleasant and old, is in need of some refurbishment (painting, possibly some replacement wood). Alternatively, this could possibly be a Community Payback project.		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would meet most of the set criteria but has limited links to the impact of development		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Deliverable within 2024/25
Risks	Low risk - replacement parts may prove a challenge to source
Resources	No significant implications
External dependencies	None identified
Comment	A deliverable project within the financial year

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£1,000
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	None
Value for Money	Represents value for money
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
General environmental enhancements	Ranked 5th priority in Reading Ranked 4th priority in Minster (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced, but under Healthy Environment and Inclusive Economy the promotion of active travel is referenced.
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers "Local highway improvement projects".
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "network management, junction improvements and road safety" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Low level of development in ward - 1.3% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	Low - improves public realm for new residents but specific location is not amongst the most used public realm.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Meets CIL Regulations• A deliverable project in 2024/25• £1,000 required• Accords with priorities identified through consultation• Limited accordance with strategic priorities• Low level of growth in area

[Return to summary table](#)

51			
Project Title	Bath Road/Granville Road underpass enhancement		
Nominee	Councillor Brock (on behalf of all Southcote ward councillors)	Ward	Southcote
Project Officer	Sam Shean	Department	Highways
Project Description (as nominated)	The underpass beneath the Bath Rd, leading between Prospect Park and Granville Rd, appears structurally sound but in a poor state otherwise. The lighting is in need of upgrade, some of the stepped egress is inaccessible, and the cleanliness is poor. Suggestion is to upgrade lighting, deep clean, and commission some permanent street art for the walls to reduce unwanted tagging.		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria, but is not well related to development location		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Within 2024/25 financial year
Risks	Considered to be low risk
Resources	Would require Highways to lead on lighting/cleaning but other teams to lead on a mural or public art
External dependencies	None identified
Comment	2024/25 for lighting and deep clean. May run into 25/26 for art project

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£26,000 total (£20k for lighting. £1k deep clean. £5k art)
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	None identified
Value for Money	Represents value for money
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
General environmental enhancements	Ranked 5th priority in Reading Ranked 6th priority in Southcote (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Not specifically identified, delivers "Other measures which help to mitigate the impact the development has on the area"
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	None
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Low level of development in ward - 1.3% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	Medium - improves public realm for new residents.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Meets CIL Regulations• A deliverable project, although inclusion of art could extend delivery into 2025/26• £26,000 sought• Accords with priorities identified through consultation• Limited accordance with strategic priorities• Low level of growth in area

[Return to summary table](#)

52			
Project Title	Ashampstead Road barrier replacement		
Nominee	Councillor Brock (on behalf of all Southcote ward councillors)	Ward	Southcote
Project Officer	Sam Shean	Department	Highways
Project Description (as nominated)	Ashampstead Rd is divided by barriers close to Manor Primary School. This prevents through traffic, but the barriers are unpleasant street furniture. The proposal is to replace with something more aesthetically appealing (e.g. robust planters).		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria, but is not well related to development location		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Within 2024/25 financial year
Risks	Medium risk of objections on safety grounds as adjacent a school
Resources	Legal, Network Management, Parks, Highways
External dependencies	None identified
Comment	Consultation may be required as installed as a traffic safety scheme a couple decades ago.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£30,000
Capital Funding – Additional funds required	None
Revenue considerations	None identified
Value for Money	Represents value for money
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
General environmental enhancements	Ranked 5th priority in Reading Ranked 6th priority in Southcote (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Not specifically identified, delivers "Other measures which help to mitigate the impact the development has on the area"
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	None
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Low level of development in ward - 1.3% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	Low - improves public realm for new residents but specific location is not amongst the most used public realm.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Meets CIL Regulations• A deliverable project in 2024/25• £30,000 sought• Accords with priorities identified through consultation• Limited accordance with strategic priorities• Low level of growth in area
--

[Return to summary table](#)

53			
Project Title	Infill footpath in Southcote Linear Park along the Holy Brook close to the allotments		
Nominee	Councillor Brock (on behalf of all Southcote ward councillors)	Ward	Southcote
Project Officer	Graeme Rasdall-Lawes	Department	Streetscene
Project Description (as nominated)	The Holy Brook has an unpaved footpath along almost its entire length in Southcote, but a small gap exists in the Southcote Linear Park close to the allotments. Proposal is just to fill in the gap with something informal but more resilient to mud (e.g. gravel).		
Project conclusion	A potentially deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	2024/25 or 2025/26
Risks	None identified
Resources	No significant implications
External dependencies	None identified
Comment	A potentially deliverable project

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£100,000 (estimate)
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	Maintenance requirements
Value for Money	Represents value for money
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
General environmental enhancements	Ranked 1st priority in Reading Ranked 1st priority in Southcote (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Not specifically identified, delivers "Other measures which help to mitigate the impact the development has on the area"
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	None
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Low level of development in ward - 1.3% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	High - Open spaces represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Meets CIL Regulations• A potentially deliverable project• £100,000 sought• Accords with priorities identified through consultation• Accords with strategic priorities• Type of infrastructure supports growth, but low level of growth in area

[Return to summary table](#)

54			
Project Title	Enhance the green in the Horncastle Conservation Area		
Nominee	Councillor Brock (on behalf of all Southcote ward councillors)	Ward	Southcote
Project Officer	Sam Shean, Graeme Rasdall-Lawes	Department	Highways, Streetscene
Project Description (as nominated)	The green in the Horncastle Conservation Area could do with some enhancements, including refurbishment of bollards and bin and installation of a couple of benches.		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would meet most of the set criteria, but is not well related to development location		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Within 2024/25 financial year
Risks	Considered to be low risk - replace, repair and upgrade existing
Resources	No significant implications
External dependencies	None identified
Comment	Deliverable maintenance project

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£20,000
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	None identified
Value for Money	Represents value for money
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Heritage and cultural provision	Ranked 9th priority in Reading Ranked 7th priority in Southcote (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced, but under Healthy Environment and Inclusive Economy the improvement of parks and open spaces is referenced.
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers "Open space improvements/small scale leisure".
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "open spaces strategy" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Low level of development in ward - 1.3% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	Low - improves public realm for new residents but specific location is not amongst the most used public realm.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Meets CIL Regulations• A potentially deliverable project in 2024/25• £20,000 sought• Limited accordance with priorities identified through consultation• Accords with strategic priorities• Low level of growth in area
--

[Return to summary table](#)

55			
Project Title	Alternative road closure at Printers Road		
Nominee	Councillor Barnett-Ward (on behalf of all Thames ward councillors)	Ward	Thames
Project Officer	James Penman	Department	Network Management
Project Description (as nominated)	<p>Remove the current road closure at the Addison Road end of Printers Road and install an alternative closure on Milford Road, to the north of Printers Road.</p> <p>The Cox and Wyman development included a planning requirement to install a road closure to prevent traffic from the industrial area using the residential streets to the east of the development. The placement of the current closure on Printers Road means that residents of the Cox & Wyman development cannot drive to and from their homes via residential streets, but instead must drive through the industrial area. As the closure was installed towards the end of the project, earlier residents were originally able to access the residential streets and have now had that access cut off, resulting in a loss of amenity. An alternative closure on Milford Road would achieve the aim of preventing industrial through-traffic without inconveniencing residents. This proposal has strong support from residents and the residents' association.</p>		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria. Due to existing work programme unlikely to commence prior to 2025/26.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Due to existing pipeline of work, development unlikely to commence before FY 25/26. Thereafter, a development and delivery 'order' will need to be agreed in the context of other schemes agreed for this round of CIL funding.
Risks	Will require statutory consultation, though not foreseen to be contentious.
Resources	Engineering (internal), legal, potentially external road safety auditor, Highways delivery and external road marking contractor.
External dependencies	Material and equipment supply. Contractor availability.
Comment	A deliverable project from 2025/26

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£15-20k
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	Need to consider closure implementation methods, due to recent vandalism of locks.
Value for Money	Good - overcomes a local issue at reasonably modest costs.
Comment	High-level estimate.

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4th priority in Reading Ranked 3rd priority in Abbey (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers "Local highway improvement projects".
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "network management, junction improvements and road safety" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	High level of development in ward - 24.0% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	Former Cox & Wyman
Degree to which this supports residential development	High - Improvements to transport access represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	There are a couple of potential options for the exact location, with subtly different pros/cons and delivery options, which can be discussed in more detail. Whichever is the chosen option, this is a deliverable scheme, subject to statutory consultation outcomes.
---	---

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Meets CIL Regulations• A deliverable project but would not commence until 2025/26 at the earliest• £15-20,000 sought• Accords well with priorities identified through consultation• Accords with strategic priorities• High level of growth in area
--

[Return to summary table](#)

56			
Project Title	Repaint and resurface the footbridge over the Kennet between Kennet Walk and Avon Place		
Nominee	Councillor Barnett-Ward (on behalf of all Thames ward councillors)	Ward	Thames
Project Officer	Sam Shean	Department	Highways
Project Description (as nominated)	Repaint and resurface the footbridge over the Kennet between Kennet Walk and Avon Place. This footbridge over the Kennet has recently benefited from overgrown plants being cut back but the non-slip surface has very worn patches and the bridge is graffitied. Graffiti removal alone is not sufficient to improve the bridge as the paint is very faded. Panting and resurfacing the bridge would improve it as an active travel route and reduce the fear of crime associated with graffiti.		
Project conclusion	Costs likely to be high in part due to complexity of working over water. Exceeds £100,000. Due to existing work programme unlikely to commence prior to 2025/26.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Within 2025/26 financial year
Risks	Large costs could prohibit a scheme
Resources	Highways
External dependencies	Stantec (bridge consultant) & Volker Laser term bridge contractor
Comment	2025/26 - we have a full bridge programme for 2024/25, would need to shift this project into 2025/26

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£200,000
Capital Funding – Additional funds required	None
Revenue considerations	None
Value for Money	Significant sum sought
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
General environmental enhancements	Ranked 5th priority in Reading Ranked 2nd priority in Abbey (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced

Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Not specifically identified, delivers "Other measures which help to mitigate the impact the development has on the area"
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "town centre public realm improvements" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	High level of development in ward - 24.0% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	Gas Holder at Alexander Turner Close
Degree to which this supports residential development	Medium - improves public realm for new residents.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	This will be a large bridge improvement scheme working over water.
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • Significant complexities in deliverability and would not commence until 2025/26 at the earliest • £200,000 sought • Accords well with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • High level of growth in area
--

[Return to summary table](#)

57			
Project Title	Installation of additional river safety equipment along Thames Promenade and potentially more exist points		
Nominee	Councillor Barnett-Ward (on behalf of all Thames ward councillors)	Ward	Thames
Project Officer	Various	Department	Various
Project Description (as nominated)	<p>Installation of additional river safety equipment along Thames Prom and, if budget allows, provision of more exit points to allow people to climb out of the river.</p> <p>People have died from drowning in this area and residents and councillors have been pressing for more safety equipment as a result. Residents trained in water safety assert that the current equipment is outdated and too widely spaced out.</p>		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would meet most of the set criteria.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Within 2024/25 financial year
Risks	Complying with river safety standards
Resources	Highways, Parks, Safer Reading,
External dependencies	River Safety Partnership, Fire Service, EA, Canal & River Trust
Comment	Deliverable

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£25,000
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	None identified
Value for Money	Represents value for money
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Play areas and public open spaces	Ranked 1st priority in Reading Ranked 6th priority in Abbey (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Not specifically identified, delivers "Other measures which help to mitigate the impact the development has on the area"
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "Thames Parks Plan" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	High level of development in ward - 24.0% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	Low - more to address existing issues than support new development.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	This is underway as a river safety partnership initiative
--	---

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Meets CIL Regulations• A deliverable project in 2024/25• £25,000 sought• Accords well with priorities identified through consultation• Accords with strategic priorities• High level of growth in area but project has limited relationship with growth
--

[Return to summary table](#)

58			
Project Title	Improvement of active travel connections to The River Academy		
Nominee	Councillor Barnett-Ward (on behalf of all Thames ward councillors)	Ward	Thames
Project Officer	Chris Maddocks	Department	Transport
Project Description (as nominated)	<p>Improvement of active travel connections to The River Academy.</p> <p>Transport Planners will need to liaise with the school to understand where pupils will be travelling from but the lack of a controlled crossing across Richfield Avenue at the school entrance means that pupils travelling from the nearest residential streets would have to make an unacceptably long detour to the eastern end of Richfield Avenue to use a controlled crossing and will instead be crossing the road in gaps of the traffic.</p> <p>There is no pedestrian or cycle crossing on Bridge Street so students travelling via Thames side or the eastern side of Caversham Bridge will either be funnelled into the pinch point under the bridge, with associated risk from the river, or have to cross three arms of the roundabout to reach Richfield Avenue. It is likely that some will instead try and take a more direct route across Bridge Street inbetween the traffic.</p> <p>The junction at the north end of Bridge Street does not have dedicated pedestrian facilities or phase, and there is no pedestrian crossing on Church Road, impacting students using the western side of Caversham Bridge.</p>		
Project conclusion	Would meet most of the criteria but the nature of the measures require significant additional scoping		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Not known at this stage, but not deliverable during 2024/25
Risks	Not known at this stage
Resources	Not known at this stage
External dependencies	Not known at this stage
Comment	It is a condition of the planning permission for the school that a new pedestrian crossing and cycle facilities are to be provided on Richfield Avenue prior to occupation. The feasibility of introducing new / improved crossing facilities on Bridge Street and/or Church Road could be investigated, alongside potential costings.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	Not known at this stage
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	Not known at this stage
Revenue considerations	Not known at this stage
Value for Money	Not known at this stage
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4th priority in Reading Ranked 3rd priority in Abbey (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced, but under Healthy Environment and Inclusive Economy the promotion of active travel is referenced.
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Not specifically identified, delivers "Other measures which help to mitigate the impact the development has on the area"
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "cycling strategy" and "walking strategy" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Transport Strategy

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	High level of development in ward - 24.0% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	River Academy development
Degree to which this supports residential development	High - Active travel improvements represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	Needs considerable further investigation
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Meets CIL Regulations• Requires significant further scoping to assess deliverability• £100,000 sought• Accords well with priorities identified through consultation• Accords with strategic priorities• High level of growth in area

[Return to summary table](#)

59			
Project Title	Improving the appearance of the public areas of the Orts Road Estate		
Nominee	Councillor Barnett-Ward (on behalf of all Thames ward councillors)	Ward	Thames
Project Officer	Various	Department	Various
Project Description (as nominated)	<p>Improving the appearance of the public areas of the Orts Road Estate – weeding, resurfacing, painting etc</p> <p>The Orts Road estate public realm is not well-maintained, with weeds, graffiti, and uneven paving. Ward Cllrs have requested improvements from Housing but these have not been forthcoming so cllrs are seeking alternative funding routes.</p>		
Project conclusion	Would meet most of the criteria but the extent of the project requires significant additional scoping		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Needs further scoping work to identify timescales
Risks	See above
Resources	See above
External dependencies	See above
Comment	Further investigation work needed

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£100,000 estimate
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	Subject to further scoping
Revenue considerations	Subject to further scoping
Value for Money	Subject to further scoping
Comment	

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
General environmental enhancements	Ranked 5th priority in Reading Ranked 2nd priority in Abbey (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Not specifically identified, delivers "Other measures which help to mitigate the impact the development has on the area"
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	None
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	High level of development in ward - 24.0% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	Medium - improves public realm for new residents.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Meets CIL Regulations• Requires further scoping to assess deliverability• £100,000 sought• Accords well with priorities identified through consultation• Limited accordance with strategic priorities• High level of growth in area
--

[Return to summary table](#)

60			
Project Title	Repair, revamp or replace Ayrton Senna Close play area		
Nominee	Councillor Moore	Ward	Tilehurst
Project Officer	Bridget Hickey	Department	Parks
Project Description (as nominated)	<p>Revamping Ayrton Senna Close play area. It is a poor state of repair and incredibly limited in its equipment. It could do with repair/revamp/replacement.</p> <p>Multiple residents have contacted ward Councillors about the poor state of the play area. We would like to see the play area become a welcoming and attractive space, in line with the improvements already made to many other play areas across the borough.</p>		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would meet most of the set criteria but is not well related to development location		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Within 2024/25 financial year
Risks	None identified
Resources	No significant implications
External dependencies	None identified
Comment	A deliverable project within the financial year

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£50,000
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	Existing maintenance requirement
Value for Money	Represents value for money
Comment	Based on current equipment and material costs

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Play areas and public open spaces	Ranked 1st priority in Reading Ranked 2nd priority in Tilehurst (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced, but under Healthy Environment and Inclusive Economy the improvement of parks and open spaces is referenced.
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “Open space improvements/small scale leisure”.
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “play requirements” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Health and Wellbeing Strategy 2021-30

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Minimal level of development in ward - 0.8% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	Low - play facilities represent vital infrastructure to support residential development but the location on a cul-de-sac distant from recent or planned development means link to growth is low.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Meets CIL Regulations• A deliverable project in 2024/25• £50,000 sought• Accords well with priorities identified through consultation• Accords with strategic priorities• Low level of growth in area
--

[Return to summary table](#)

61			
Project Title	20mph zone for Gratwicke Road, Recreation Road and Blundells Road		
Nominee	Councillor Moore	Ward	Tilehurst
Project Officer	James Penman	Department	Network Management
Project Description (as nominated)	<p>Implementing a 20mph zone in the residential streets of Gratwicke Road, Recreation Road and Blundells Road.</p> <p>Multiple residents have contacted ward Councillors about road safety in these three roads, especially as there are many families living in this area. There is also a recreation ground with exits onto both Gratwicke Road and Recreation Road (Blagrove Recreation Ground) and a childrens nursery. As well as many families there are also elderly residents living in this area currently impacted by speeding traffic. The area is used as a 'rat run' between Norcot Road and Corwen Road and is one of the most regularly brought up items to ward Councillors over the past ten years.</p>		
Project conclusion	Based on an area covering these streets, plus Green Acre Mount, Bramble Crescent and Thicket Road, project is potentially deliverable but is not well related to development location. Exceeds £100,000. Due to existing work programme unlikely to commence prior to 2025/26.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Due to existing pipeline of work, development unlikely to commence before FY 25/26. Thereafter, a development and delivery 'order' will need to be agreed in the context of other schemes agreed for this round of CIL funding.
Risks	20mph requires statutory consultation. Vertical traffic calming features require statutory consultation - these can be locally controversial.
Resources	Engineering (internal), legal, external survey (speed) contractor, external road safety auditor, Highways delivery, external road marking and sign manufacturing contractor.
External dependencies	Materials and contractor availability.
Comment	Will require road closures and parking suspensions to deliver, necessitating temporary TTRO.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£130k
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	Lining maintenance, raised maintenance costs for vertical traffic calming features.
Value for Money	Good - these features will be effective in reducing vehicle speeds and act as a deterrent for rat-running.
Comment	High-level estimate.

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4th priority in Reading

Ranked 1st priority in Tilehurst (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers "Local highway improvement projects".
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "network management, junction improvements and road safety" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	No

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Minimal level of development in ward - 0.8% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	High - Improvements to road safety represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	The assumptions in this assessment are based on 20mph TRO for these streets, plus Green Acre Mount, Bramble Crescent and Thicket Road. It will include the required signing (gateway, exit and some repeater) and a system of speed humps approx. every 50m.
--	--

Conclusion

- Meets CIL Regulations
- Potentially deliverable but would not be delivered prior to 2025/26
- £130,000 sought
- Accords well with priorities identified through consultation
- Accords with strategic priorities
- Low level of growth in area

[Return to summary table](#)

62			
Project Title	Revamping Meadway Recreation Ground, including zip line, swings, ground surface and provision for children with disabilities		
Nominee	Councillor Moore	Ward	Tilehurst
Project Officer	Bridget Hickey	Department	Parks
Project Description (as nominated)	<p>Revamping Meadway Recreation Ground. The zip line is broken and unusable, the swing lines are down to metal fibres and needs fully replacing, the ground surface should be made safer, and provision of equipment for children with disabilities.</p> <p>Multiple parent groups and residents have contacted ward Councillors about the poor state of the play area and the ongoing safety issues. We would like to see the play area become a welcoming and attractive space, in line with the improvements already made to many other play areas across the borough.</p>		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project in 2024-25 that would meet some of the set criteria but is not well related to development location and would significantly exceed £100K		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget, in addition to compliance with the Regulations. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Within 2024/25 financial year
Risks	None identified
Resources	No significant implications
External dependencies	None identified
Comment	A deliverable project within the financial year

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds required	£300,000
Capital Funding – <i>Additional funds required</i>	None
Revenue considerations	Maintenance costs
Value for Money	Not clear as significant sum sought
Comment	Based on current equipment and material costs

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Play areas and public open spaces	Ranked 1st priority in Reading Ranked 2nd priority in Tilehurst (old boundaries)

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2022-25	Not specifically referenced, but under Healthy Environment and Inclusive Economy the improvement of parks and open spaces is referenced.

Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers "Open space improvements/small scale leisure".
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers "play requirements" and "open spaces strategy" as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Health and Wellbeing Strategy 2021-30

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Criteria	Comment
Level of development in area	Minimal level of development in ward - 0.8% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2018-23 or with outstanding planning permission.
Specific major recent or outstanding development	No
Degree to which this supports residential development	High - Play facilities and open spaces represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Additional officer comment (where necessary)	
--	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • Deliverable in 2024/25 • £300,000 sought • Accords well with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • Low level of growth in area
--

[Return to summary table](#)

Appendix 4: Progress on projects already allocated 15% local CIL funding

NB: Excludes projects already reported to Policy Committee in July 2022 as completed, or where that meeting of Policy Committee agreed to reallocate funds away from the project

Name	Allocation	Scheme description	Delivery date (actual/expected)	Latest update
High Street Heritage Action Zone covering the high streets of the three conservation areas within town centre area	£150,000 (Nov 2018) (previously £50,000 - increase via August 2020 Decision Book) £275,000 (June 2021)	The HSHAZ project has been awarded a grant of up to £806,500 from Historic England to be match funded from S106 and CIL funding and other sources. The Programme aims to make the high street a more attractive, place through physical, community and cultural activities.	October 2024 (expected)	The HSHAZ cultural and community engagement strands have successfully ended on the 31 March 2024. Due to some major unforeseen circumstances the conservation strand had encountered some delay and the remaining few shop fronts conservation on Oxford Road would be undertaken from July to October. An evaluation report would be presented to HNL committee in November 2024, to show case the impacts, learnings and legacy of this 4 years programme. Detailed information is available on the Council website: www.reading.gov.uk/hshaz
Town centre monuments and statues	£70,000 (June 2021) (previously £50,000 – increased by Policy Committee June 2022)	Inspection, cleaning and repairs	To be confirmed	Works to conserve and repair the Maiwand Lion, Forbury War Memorial, Henry Cross, Spanish War Memorial, Joshua Vines Forbury Hill Plaque, King Edward VII statue complete. Condition survey of Queen Victoria Jubilee Fountain complete and this will lead to next steps for fountain being agreed.
War memorials and public art	£100,000 (June 2021)	Inventory, maintenance and cleaning of war memorials & public art	See above	Now combined with town centre monuments and statues – see above
Thames cycle/path route at Kings Meadow	£100,000 (June 2021)	The surface of the cycle/footpath along sections is cracked and broken from tree routes. Given its continual use by pedestrians and cyclists is in need of attention.	March 2023 (completed)	Completed
Improvements at Shinfield Road Recreation Ground, Linden Road, involving	£75,000 (June 2021)	Upgrading the park and facilities. Removal of the carpet style surfacing within the play area along with some of the fencing and returned to	July 2022 (completed)	Completed

improving upgrading the park and facilities		parkland. New furniture. Resurfacing of footpaths.		
Skate park at John Rabson Recreation Ground	£95,000 (June 2021)	Installation of skate park. Previous consultation in 2018 proposed that this could be located at Cintra Park, but proposal is now that it be delivered at John Rabson Recreation Ground.	To be confirmed	Planning application submitted February 2024 but has been withdrawn. Discussions are taken place to agree an alternative location on site.
Play area improvements at Waterloo Meadows	£95,000 (June 2021)	Some items of play equipment need replacing along with safety surfacing.	November 2022 (completed)	Completed
Pedestrian crossing on Norcot Road, close to number 91	£50,000 (June 2021)	Convert refuge island to a full pedestrian crossing, as the island is too small for push chairs. This would also be a safety benefit for school children. This is a significant distance from the nearest controlled crossings and near to the linking footway between Norcot Road and Wealden Way. Requires full site survey and feasibility investigation.	December 2022 (completed)	Completed
Improvements and tidy up of wooden bridge area at Brook Street West	£100,000 (June 2021)	Open out the area to make it more desirable for local people to visit and less desirable for drug users. Need for a full project plan. Could include cycle path link to Berkeley Avenue and/or nature trail.	October 2023 (completed)	Completed
Moriston Close play area improvements	£30,000 (June 2021)	Play equipment is old and has little play value. The size of the site limits potential improvements.	June 2022 (completed)	Completed
Palmer Park play area improvements	£100,000 (June 2021)	Offer for disabled children and for toddlers of all abilities needs to be improved. Investment in all-inclusive	March 2023 (completed)	Completed

		play a priority. Loose fill surfacing requires replacement to improve access. Several swing units require replacement for health and safety reasons.		
Pedestrian crossing on Addington Road, between the junctions with Erleigh Road and Eastern Avenue	£50,000 (June 2021)	Provision of controlled crossing at this location. Requires full site survey and feasibility investigation. May necessitate removal of some on street parking to enhance crossing visibility. Aspects of the scheme will require legal public consultation. Will require independent Road Safety Audit.	August 2022 (completed)	Completed
Improvements to Victoria Recreation Ground	£231,000 (March 2022)	To provide improved and upgraded play equipment in a new playground adjacent to Hodsoll Road	To be confirmed	Planning application for new play area has been granted planning permission. Detailed designs are open for public consultation until 10 June 2024.
New Street Lights in town centre	£20,000 (March 2022)	Installation of streetlighting in local areas where tree lined roads obscure lighting to pavements/ footways to improve lighting levels.	June 2023 (completed)	Completed
Northcourt Avenue speed reduction	£200,000 (March 2022)	20mph scheme and traffic calming measures to address concerns of speeding on Northcourt Avenue (also to include Wellington Avenue).	To be confirmed	Discussions underway on draft concept schemes
Waterloo Meadows playground	£200,000 (March 2022)	A complete overhaul of the playground	June 2024 (completed)	Completed
Robert Hewett Recreation Ground	£100,000 (March 2022)	Replace some of the playground equipment	June 2023 (completed)	Completed
Traffic calming measures on Shaw Road and Boston Avenue	£50,000 (March 2022)	Installation of speed humps	December 2023 (completed)	Completed, subject to road safety audit

Sheeps Lane staggered barriers	£3,000 (March 2022)	Sheep's Lane has been the focus of ASB/criminal behaviour for some time. Scooters and motorbikes speeding is a real concern so placing staggered barriers will alleviate this problem.	November 2022 (completed)	Completed
Moriston Close playground	£20,000 (March 2022)	Replace the existing safety surfacing	July 2022 (completed)	Completed
20 is plenty zone on streets around Oxford Road	£200,000 (March 2022)	Introduction of a 20mph zone in residential side streets off Oxford Road. Initial phase(s) of wider desirable zone area.	To be confirmed	Some discussions have been held around the detail for this project but delivery of other traffic management projects have been prioritised due to limited resources to deliver.
Palmer Park green gym equipment	£75,000 (March 2022)	A suite of outdoor gym equipment with safety surfacing located in Palmer Park.	March 2023 (completed)	Completed
Hexham Road Community Centre facelift	£15,000 (March 2022)	Despite being a bit ragged the play area on the Hexham estate is always covered with kids. It would be good to give that playground a facelift. At the same time, the Hexham Road Community Centre could benefit from some aesthetic renewal. Something as simple as a deep clean followed by a lick of paint would make a very big difference.	June 2023 (completed)	Completed
Lower Mount green space	£33,000 (March 2022)	Remove the tarmac at the south end of Lower Mount and replace it with a small park, potentially a community garden	October 2023 (completed)	Completed
New Bus shelter for Coronation Square westbound	£8,000 (March 2022)	The westbound (towards Calcot) bus shelter at Coronation Square is of the old Adshel type and in need of upgrade, ideally to one of	December 2022 (completed)	Completed

		the new sedum roof models. The shelter is well-used.		
Lighting improvements at Coronation Square	£25,000 (March 2022)	Coronation Square suffers from ASB, which may be mitigated by upgrades to the street lighting in the area. Work to include lighting improvements around the flats in the area.	December 2022 (completed)	Completed
Improvements to South Whitley Park	£190,000 (March 2022) (originally £170K but increased by Policy Committee July 2022)	1.To install a land drainage scheme following winter monitoring of water levels 2021/22. 2.To resurface the existing ball court	October 2022 (completed)	Completed
Gateway area pedestrian crossings (Imperial Way and Basingstoke Road)	£160,000 (March 2022) (originally £150K but increased by Policy Committee July 2022)	Installation of new zebra crossing on Imperial Way (near to Basingstoke Road) and Basingstoke Road (to the north of Imperial Way)	Summer 2024 (expected)	Imperial Way crossing completed November 2023 subject to road safety audit. Project amended to improve Whitley Wood Lane crossing rather than new Basingstoke Road crossing. Delivery in progress.
Lulworth Road communal area improvements	£130,000 (originally £110K but increased by Policy Committee July 2022)	Land situated to the rear of the circular parking area on Lulworth Road – move bin store to create childrens play area with improved landscaping and tidy up	Later in 2024	Play area open July 2023. Remaining tasks are re-hanging the gate on the back of the bin store and creation of art work for the outside of the bin store.